

# *C. elegans ced-13* can promote apoptosis and is induced in response to DNA damage

B Schumacher<sup>1,7</sup>, C Schertel<sup>2,3,7</sup>, N Wittenburg<sup>3</sup>, S Tuck<sup>4</sup>,  
S Mitani<sup>5</sup>, A Gartner<sup>\*1</sup>, B Conradt<sup>\*2,3</sup> and S Shaham<sup>\*6,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Max-Planck-Institute of Biochemistry, Am Klopferspitz 18A, 82152 Martinsried, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Department of Genetics, Dartmouth Medical School, 7400 Remsen, Hanover, NH 03755-3837, USA

<sup>3</sup> Max-Planck-Institute of Neurobiology, Am Klopferspitz 18A, 82152 Martinsried, Germany

<sup>4</sup> Umeå Center for Molecular Pathogenesis, Umeå University, SE-901 87 Umeå, Sweden

<sup>5</sup> Department of Physiology, Tokyo Women's Medical University School of Medicine, 8-1, Kawada-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8666, Japan

<sup>6</sup> Laboratory of Developmental Genetics, The Rockefeller University, 1230 York Avenue, New York, NY 10021, USA

<sup>7</sup> These authors contributed equally

\* Corresponding authors. A. Gartner, Max-Planck-Institute of Biochemistry, Am Klopferspitz 18A, 82152 Martinsried, Germany. Tel: +44 0 1382 345809; E-mail: gartner@biochem.mpg.de, or B. Conradt, Department of Genetics, Dartmouth Medical School, 7400 Remsen, Hanover, NH 03755-3837, USA. Tel: +1 603 650 1210; Fax: +1 603 650 1188; E-mail: barbara.conradt@Dartmouth.EDU, or S. Shaham, Laboratory of Developmental Genetics, The Rockefeller University, 1230 York Avenue, New York, NY 10021, USA. Tel: 212 327 7126; Fax: 212 327 7129; E-mail: shaham@rockefeller.edu

Received 20.7.04; revised 11.10.04; accepted 25.10.04; published online 17.12.04  
Edited by J Abrams

## Abstract

The p53 tumor suppressor promotes apoptosis in response to DNA damage. Here we describe the *Caenorhabditis elegans* gene *ced-13*, which encodes a conserved BH3-only protein. We show that *ced-13* mRNA accumulates following DNA damage, and that this accumulation is dependent on an intact *C. elegans cep-1/p53* gene. We demonstrate that CED-13 protein physically interacts with the antiapoptotic Bcl-2-related protein CED-9. Furthermore, overexpression of *ced-13* in somatic cells leads to the death of cells that normally survive, and this death requires the core apoptotic pathway of *C. elegans*. Recent studies have implicated two BH3-only proteins, Noxa and PUMA, in p53-induced apoptosis in mammals. Our studies suggest that in addition to the BH3-only protein EGL-1, CED-13 might also promote apoptosis in the *C. elegans* germ line in response to p53 activation. We propose that an evolutionarily conserved pathway exists in which p53 promotes cell death by inducing expression of two BH3-only genes.

*Cell Death and Differentiation* (2005) 12, 153–161.

doi:10.1038/sj.cdd.4401539

Published online 17 December 2004

**Keywords:** apoptosis; cell death; *C. elegans*; *ced-13*; *egl-1*; BH3-only

**Abbreviations:** BH3, Bcl-2 homology domain 3; IR, ionizing radiation; ORF, open reading frame; GST, glutathione-S-transferase; Gy, Gray; qPCR, quantitative PCR; DIC, differential interference contrast

## Introduction

A major pathway leading to cell death following DNA damage involves activation of the p53 tumor suppressor protein.<sup>1–5</sup> The ability of p53 to act as a transcriptional activator is thought to be important for the induction of apoptosis, since mutant p53 proteins that are defective in transcriptional activation fail to promote apoptosis of cells experiencing DNA damage.<sup>6,7</sup> Numerous transcriptional targets of p53 have been described. Among these are several cell death genes including *Bax*, *Apaf-1*, *Pidd*, and two BH3 (Bcl-2 homology region 3)-only genes, *PUMA* and *Noxa*.<sup>8–15</sup> The BH3 domain is a weakly conserved motif found in a variety of proteins that regulate apoptosis.<sup>16</sup> Structural studies suggest that this domain adopts an amphipathic alpha-helical conformation.<sup>17–19</sup> Proteins containing a BH3 domain as their only recognizable motif (BH3-only proteins) promote apoptosis in a number of settings. For example, in mammals, stimulation of the Fas receptor by ligand can trigger cell death by a number of mechanisms. For example, Fas can activate caspase-8, which in turn can directly activate caspase-3 and promote cell death. Caspase-8 can also cleave Bid, a BH3-only protein. Cleaved Bid associates with mitochondria and can promote cell death.<sup>20,21</sup> Bim, another BH3-only protein, is required for cytokine deprivation-induced apoptosis and seems to be involved in some aspect of negative selection in the immune system.<sup>22,23</sup> Mice lacking a functional *PUMA* gene are severely impaired in apoptosis of hematopoietic cells, fibroblasts, and neurons, following exposure to ionizing radiation (IR), suggesting that *PUMA* is an important cell death target of p53.<sup>24,25</sup> Mice harboring a deletion of *Noxa* may also be deficient in IR-induced apoptosis, but to a much lesser degree than *PUMA* mutants.<sup>25,26</sup> Thus, in mammals, two BH3-only genes, *PUMA* and *Noxa*, are transcriptionally induced by p53 to promote cell death in response to DNA damage.

Apoptosis in *Caenorhabditis elegans* normally occurs during the development of somatic tissues and the maturation of female germ cells, as well as in the female germ line in response to DNA damage.<sup>27–29</sup> The *C. elegans* BH3-only protein EGL-1 is required for many, if not all, somatic programmed cell deaths and for DNA-damage-induced germ-cell death.<sup>28,30</sup> The *egl-1* gene acts upstream of the genes *ced-9*, *ced-4*, and *ced-3*, which encode a Bcl-2-like cell death inhibitor, an Apaf-1-like adaptor protein, and a caspase, respectively. Furthermore, EGL-1 protein physically interacts with the antiapoptotic CED-9 protein. These observations suggest that EGL-1 inhibits CED-9 activity, allowing CED-4 to activate the CED-3 caspase, thus promoting apoptosis.<sup>27,30,31</sup> Here we describe a second *C. elegans* BH3-only protein,

CED-13. *ced-13* mRNA accumulates following IR exposure in a *cep-1/p53*-dependent manner. We show that CED-13 can interact with CED-9 and promote apoptosis. These results are consistent with a conserved pathway in which p53 activates the transcription of two apoptosis-promoting BH3-only proteins in response to DNA damage.

## Results


### *ced-13* encodes a conserved BH3-only protein

To identify regulators of programmed cell death in *C. elegans*, we searched available translated genomic sequences using the BLAST program<sup>32</sup> for peptides with similarities to BH3 domains. We identified three such peptides: one corresponded to the EGL-1 protein, a second corresponded to the *C. elegans* Bnip3 protein,<sup>33</sup> and a third was encoded by a genomic fragment of cosmid R09F10 not previously annotated as an open reading frame (ORF) (Figure 1a). To determine whether the region of R09F10 identified was part of a protein-coding gene, we inspected the genomic sequence manually and assembled a putative gene structure. Using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and primers corresponding to the predicted gene, we were able to amplify a cDNA from a mixed-stage cDNA library we constructed<sup>34</sup> (S Shaham, unpublished data). The existence of a cDNA suggested that the region of R09F10 we identified indeed contained a transcribed gene, designated *R09F10.9*. Northern blots of purified mixed-stage *C. elegans* mRNA probed with the <sup>32</sup>P-labelled cDNA failed to detect any mRNA, suggesting that

*R09F10.9* was expressed at low levels (data not shown). We deduced the structure of *R09F10.9* by comparing the sequences of the cDNA and cosmid R09F10. Three features of the assembled structure suggested that *R09F10.9* might play a role in apoptosis. First, the structure of the gene revealed a single intron in a 5' noncoding region and a single intron within the protein-coding region (Figure 1b). This structure as well as the overall size of *R09F10.9* resembled that of the *egl-1* gene, suggesting that these genes may be related. Second, alignment of the amino-acid sequences of the EGL-1 protein and the predicted R09F10.9 protein (Figure 1c) revealed a distinct stretch of similarity (13/21 identical amino acids) surrounding the putative BH3 domain. Significantly, all residues contributing to the putative hydrophobic face of the BH3 helix were conserved. Third, the syntenic genomic region of the related nematode *Caenorhabditis briggsae* contains sequences that are most similar to *R09F10.9* in the region surrounding the BH3 domain, and similar sequences exist in the *Caenorhabditis remanei* genome (Figure 1d), suggesting functional importance. We thus named *R09F10.9 ced-13* (*ced*, *cell death abnormal*; see below).

### Overexpression of *ced-13* promotes apoptosis

To test whether *ced-13* indeed has a role in apoptosis, we examined three independent *C. elegans* lines harboring stably integrated transgenes consisting of the *ced-13* cDNA fused to a heat-shock-inducible promoter ( $P_{HS}$ ).<sup>35</sup> Embryos examined 2 h after heat shock contained many more dying cells, as



**Figure 1** *ced-13* encodes a conserved BH3-only protein. (a) A map of the region of cosmid R09F10 containing *R09F10.9/ced-13*. Numbers indicate sequence positions along R09F10. The arrow indicates direction of transcription. Double lines flanked by vertical bars delineate the extent and end points, respectively, of two deletion alleles of the locus: *sv32* and *tm536*. (b) Predicted *ced-13* mRNA structure as deduced from RT-PCR and cDNA sequencing experiments. Empty boxes, noncoding exon regions; hatched boxes, coding exon region; V figures, spliced introns; SL1, spliced leader sequence; A<sub>n</sub>, poly A tail. The start (AUG) and stop (UAA) codons are indicated. (c) An alignment of CED-13 and EGL-1 amino-acid sequences. Identical residues are boxed. The putative BH3 domains of EGL-1 and CED-13 are indicated by a horizontal bar under the alignment. Hydrophobic residues that are predicted to lie on one face of the BH3 domain helix are indicated by asterisks. (d) An alignment of the putative BH3 domains of EGL-1 and CED-13 proteins from *C. elegans*, *C. briggsae*, and *C. remanei*. For *C. briggsae*, we could assign *egl-1* and *ced-13* coding regions by synteny with the *C. elegans* genes. For *C. remanei*, the genome has not yet been assembled, so the two proteins are designated A and B. Asterisks indicate hydrophobic residues that are predicted to lie on one face of the BH3 domain helix

viewed using differential interference contrast microscopy (DIC), than embryos that were not exposed to a heat shock (Table 1). Heat-shocked transgenic embryos uniformly succumbed and did not hatch. These results suggest that CED-13 can promote apoptosis in *C. elegans*.

To determine the mechanism by which CED-13 causes cell death, we examined the ability of the  $P_{HS}ced-13$  transgenes to cause ectopic cell death in animals containing mutations in components of the core apoptotic machinery. Loss-of-function mutations in *egl-1*, *ced-4*, and *ced-3*, and a gain-of-function mutation in *ced-9*, block most, if not all, apoptotic cell deaths that occur in somatic tissues during *C. elegans* development.<sup>27</sup> As shown in Table 1, transgenic embryos harboring loss-of-function mutations in the *ced-3* or *ced-4* genes, or a gain-of-function mutation in the *ced-9* gene, did not contain dying cells after a heat shock. Furthermore, all such embryos hatched and developed into fertile adults. These results suggest that *ced-13*-induced cell death required *ced-3* and *ced-4* function, and could be blocked by *ced-9*. Transgenic embryos containing a loss-of-function mutation in *egl-1*, however, consistently had slightly more dying cells after a heat shock when compared to wild-type animals. These results suggest that *egl-1* function was not required for cell death induction by *ced-13*. Thus, *ced-13* can act upstream of *ced-3*, *ced-4*, and *ced-9* and in parallel to *egl-1* to induce apoptosis.

### CED-13 binds to CED-9

The observation that *ced-9* function could block *ced-13*-induced cell death suggested that the CED-13 and CED-9 proteins may interact directly. To determine whether CED-13 could bind CED-9, we examined their interaction in a two-hybrid assay. As shown in Figure 2a, coexpression of CED-13 and CED-9 proteins fused to the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Gal4p DNA-binding domain and Gal4p activation domain, respectively, or *vice versa*, promoted expression of a Gal4-dependent *lacZ* reporter gene, as assayed by both enzymatic activity and colorimetric assays. These results suggest that CED-13 can bind CED-9. To confirm this interaction, we performed co-precipitation experiments using a bacterially produced glutathione-S-transferase (GST)::CED-9 fusion protein and *in vitro*-translated CED-13 protein. As shown in Figure 2b, CED-13 bound the GST::CED-9 fusion protein,

whereas control proteins failed to do so. Furthermore, CED-13 did not bind GST alone, supporting the hypothesis that CED-13 and CED-9 interact specifically. To test whether the BH3 domain of CED-13 was important for the interaction with CED-9, we co-precipitated GST::CED-9 with a CED-13 protein lacking nine amino acids (L59–D67) containing the BH3 domain. As shown in Figure 2c, CED-13 $\Delta$ BH3 bound CED-9 about 10 times less efficiently than wild-type CED-13. Furthermore, when overexpressed using a heat-inducible promoter, CED-13 $\Delta$ BH3 failed to promote cell death. Specifically, embryos of three  $P_{HS}ced-13\Delta$ BH3 transgenic lines contained  $3 \pm 2$ ,  $2 \pm 2$ , and  $2 \pm 1$  cell corpses, whereas three lines harboring wild-type  $P_{HS}ced-13$  contained  $24 \pm 10$ ,  $27 \pm 15$ , and  $18 \pm 5$  corpses. These results suggest that CED-13 requires its BH3 domain to bind CED-9 and promote cell death.


### Analysis of *ced-13* mutants

The results presented above suggest a role for *ced-13* in regulating apoptosis in *C. elegans*. To understand the function of *ced-13* *in vivo*, we isolated two *C. elegans* mutants harboring deletions in the *ced-13* locus (Figure 1a; see Materials and Methods). *ced-13(sv32)* animals lack the entire *ced-13* coding region as well as 747 base pairs (bp) upstream of the ATG codon and 209 bp downstream of the TAA stop codon. *ced-13(tm536)* animals lack the entire *ced-13* coding region, except for 15 bp upstream of the TAA stop codon, as well as 191 bp upstream of the ATG codon. Neither deletion allele removes coding sequences of the adjacent genes *R09F10.4* and *R09F10.3*. *sv32* and *tm536*, therefore, are likely to represent null alleles of the *ced-13* gene. We found no defects in programmed cell death of somatic cells during development in either *ced-13* mutant (Table 2). Furthermore, we did not observe increased cell death in double mutant combinations of *ced-13(sv32)* or *ced-13(tm536)* with the strong loss-of-function alleles *egl-1(n1084n3082)*, *ced-4(n1162)*, *ced-3(n717)*, or *ced-1(e1735)*, or the gain-of-function allele *ced-9(n1950)*, and no reduction in cell death in double mutant combinations of *ced-13(sv32)* or *ced-13(tm536)* with the weak loss-of-function alleles *egl-1(n3331)*, *ced-4(n3312)*, or *ced-3(n2438)*. Similarly, *ced-13(sv32)* and *ced-13(tm536)* did not affect the level of physiological germ-cell death, the process by which about

**Table 1** Overexpression of *ced-13* promotes programmed cell death

Transgene	No. of cell corpses						
	+Heat shock					–Heat shock	
	Wild type	<i>ced-3(n717)</i>	<i>ced-4(n1162)</i>	<i>ced-9(n1950)</i>	<i>egl-1(n1034n3082)</i>	Wild type	<i>egl-1(n1034n3082)</i>
$P_{HS}ced-13-1$	27 ± 6	0.3 ± 0.6	0.2 ± 0.4	0.3 ± 0.5	ND	3 ± 2	ND
$P_{HS}ced-13-2$	27 ± 6	0.1 ± 0.3	ND	0.2 ± 0.3	40 ± 10	3 ± 2	0 ± 0
$P_{HS}ced-13-3$	32 ± 5	ND	0.1 ± 0.3	1 ± 1	46 ± 9	4 ± 2	0 ± 0
None	2 ± 2	0 ± 0	0.1 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.3	0 ± 0	5 ± 3	0 ± 0

Three independent transgenic lines are indicated that were obtained by integration of extrachromosomal arrays as previously described.<sup>30</sup> Adult animals were allowed to lay embryos for 2 h and then transferred to 34 °C or maintained at 20 °C for 45 min. Adults were then removed from plates and the remaining embryos were scored for the presence of cell corpses after 2 h. Mutant alleles introduced into each transgene line are indicated at the top of each column. Numbers indicate mean ± standard deviation. ND, not determined; mutant alleles were tightly linked to the specific transgene insertion sites and the double mutant combinations could not be isolated



**Figure 2** CED-13 binds CED-9. **(a)** Table summarizing two-hybrid interactions between CED-13 and CED-9. Each ORF was fused to DNA encoding either the DNA-binding domain or activation domain of the *S. cerevisiae* Gal4 protein, and pairs of fusion constructs were cotransformed, as indicated, into yeast carrying a *lacZ* gene with a 5' Gal4p DNA-binding site. *LacZ* activity was quantitated using Miller assays, and numbers represent the average ± standard deviation in Miller units of three separate experiments (see Materials and Methods). Each yeast strain was also used in a *lacZ* filter assay and the colors of the resulting patches following incubation with X-gal are indicated below the numbers. ΔAg (hepatitis delta virus antigen), and DIP (delta antigen interacting protein) are control proteins that are known to interact with each other in this assay.<sup>51</sup> **(b)** Co-precipitation experiment of bacterially produced and purified GST::CED-9 (lanes 1–4) or GST (lanes 5–8) proteins and different *in vitro*-translated proteins (see Materials and Methods). Lanes 9–12 represent 50% of the *in vitro*-translated product used in the precipitation reaction. All *in vitro*-translated products contained a 6 kDa protein tag at their amino termini. S-tag and M18.7 are control proteins. S-tag contains the 6 kDa protein tag and additional unrelated sequences. M18.7 is a *C. elegans* protein. Lanes 13 and 14: GST::CED-9 and GST proteins used in the co-precipitation reactions separated on an SDS polyacrylamide gel and stained with Coomassie blue. Approximately 10 ng of either protein was used in the precipitation reactions. MW, molecular weight. **(c)** Similar to **(b)**, except that CED-13 and CED-13ΔBH3 were the only *in vitro* translated proteins added to the precipitation reactions

50% of all germ cells in the female gonad are eliminated, in an otherwise wild-type background or in a background containing the *egl-1* loss-of-function mutation *n3082n1084* (Figure 3a, data not shown).

When we examined *ced-13(sv32)* and *ced-13(tm536)* hermaphrodites following exposure to IR, we obtained complex results. For example, in the experiment depicted in Figure 3b, we could detect no consistent difference in germ-cell deaths between *ced-13(tm586)* and wild-type animals. However, a consistent but weak difference was detected between *egl-1(n1084n3082)* animals and *egl-1(n1084n3082); ced-13(tm586)* animals across radiation doses and times postirradiation. In other experiments, we could also occasionally detect consistent weak differences between *ced-13* mutants and wild-type animals (data not shown). We propose, therefore, that *ced-13* might play a role in germ-cell death following IR treatment. In general, the number of dying germ cells that are observed varies dramatically in individual animals of a given genotype at a given time following IR exposure, resulting in standard deviations on the order of the mean. This variation might be due to differences in germ-cell

proliferation rates as a consequence of slight temperature variations between strains, or to differences in the precise developmental time at which the animals are irradiated.<sup>36</sup> Germ-cell death measurements can, therefore, be difficult to compare between experiments, even when many animals are scored. Thus, while large differences between strains are readily apparent, small but genuine differences between strains may not always be apparent. This contrasts with the developmental cell deaths that are often scored in the *C. elegans* pharynx, where the presence or absence of cells in an invariant lineage is assessed (e.g. Table 2). Thus, although loss of *ced-13* may indeed result in a reduction in the number of dying germ cells, our inability to consistently reproduce this difference prevents us from concluding this with certainty.

If *ced-13* does play a role in IR-induced germ-cell death, it could function upstream of or as part of the DNA damage response checkpoint (as defined by the *mrt-2* gene, for example<sup>37</sup>), or downstream of this checkpoint. Two observations suggest that *ced-13* may function downstream of the checkpoint. First, in response to radiation, *ced-13* mutant germ cells arrested cell-cycle progression in a manner

**Table 2** *ced-13* does not control cell death in the pharynx

Genotype	Average number of extra cells in the anterior pharynx $\pm$ S.D. (n = 15)	Range
+/+	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0
<i>ced-13(sv32)</i>	0.2 $\pm$ 0.4	0–1
<i>ced-13(tm536)</i>	0.1 $\pm$ 0.4	0–1
<i>egl-1(n1084 n3082)</i>	12.1 $\pm$ 1.7	10–14
<i>egl-1(n1084 n3082); ced-13(sv32)</i>	11.9 $\pm$ 1.3	10–14
<i>egl-1(n1084 n3082); ced-13(tm536)</i>	11.7 $\pm$ 1.5	8–14
<i>egl-1(n3331)</i>	4.6 $\pm$ 1.3	3–7
<i>egl-1(n3331); ced-13(sv32)</i>	4.8 $\pm$ 1.3	2–7
<i>egl-1(n3331); ced-13(tm536)</i>	4.7 $\pm$ 1.2	2–6
<i>egl-1(n717)</i>	12.1 $\pm$ 1.8	8–14
<i>egl-1(n717); ced-13(sv32)</i>	11.8 $\pm$ 2.1	7–14
<i>egl-1(n717); ced-13(tm536)</i>	11.7 $\pm$ 2.0	8–14
<i>egl-1(n2438)</i>	2.5 $\pm$ 1.3	0–5
<i>egl-1(n2438); ced-13(sv32)</i>	3.0 $\pm$ 1.5	1–7
<i>egl-1(n2438); ced-13(tm536)</i>	2.2 $\pm$ 0.9	1–3
<i>egl-1(n1162)</i>	11.3 $\pm$ 1.2	9–13
<i>egl-1(n1162); ced-13(sv32)</i>	11.1 $\pm$ 1.2	10–13
<i>egl-1(n1162); ced-13(tm536)</i>	11.3 $\pm$ 1.2	9–13
<i>egl-1(n3312)</i>	2.7 $\pm$ 1.3	0–6
<i>egl-1(n3312); ced-13(sv32)</i>	3.1 $\pm$ 1.3	1–6
<i>egl-1(n3312); ced-13(tm536)</i>	2.3 $\pm$ 1.1	1–4
<i>egl-1(n1950)</i>	11.5 $\pm$ 1.7	8–15
<i>egl-1(n1950); ced-13(sv32)</i>	11.2 $\pm$ 1.9	7–14
<i>egl-1(n1950); ced-13(tm536)</i>	11.7 $\pm$ 1.2	10–14
<i>egl-1(n1735)</i>	0.1 $\pm$ 0.4	0–1
<i>egl-1(n1735); ced-13(sv32)</i>	0.1 $\pm$ 0.4	0–1
<i>egl-1(n1735); ced-13(tm536)</i>	0.1 $\pm$ 0.4	0–1

The number of extra cells in the anterior pharynx was scored as described in Materials and Methods

indistinguishable from wild-type germ cells (Figure 3c, data not shown). Second, mutants in the DNA damage response checkpoint produce many inviable progeny, presumably because of the accumulation of unrepaired DNA lesions in these animals. Mortality of *ced-13* embryos was indistinguishable from wild-type animals over a range of radiation doses. For example, as in the wild type, about 50% of *ced-13(sv32)* or *ced-13(tm536)* embryos derived from irradiated parents survived following 120 gray (Gy) of X-irradiation, whereas 0% of *mrt-2* embryos survived (data not shown).

### ***ced-13* mRNA accumulation following IR treatment depends on *cep-1/p53***


The possible role of *ced-13* in DNA-damage-induced apoptosis, together with the low levels of the *ced-13* transcript in unirradiated animals (see above), suggested that although *ced-13* was unlikely to be a checkpoint control gene, *ced-13* transcription may be specifically induced in response to DNA damage. To test this hypothesis, we irradiated animals and followed *ced-13* mRNA accumulation using quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR (qPCR). In unirradiated wild-type animals, we consistently detected only very low levels of *ced-13* mRNA. However, when we treated wild-type animals with increasing doses of IR, *ced-13* transcript was induced up to 10-fold (Figures 4a and b). The dose-dependent induction of *ced-13* was comparable to *egl-1* induction

(Figure 4c). To examine whether *ced-13* mRNA accumulation was confined to germ cells, we examined mRNA levels in *glp-4(bn2)* mutants following IR treatment. *glp-4(bn2)* mutants grown at 25°C lack germ cells, whereas those grown at 15°C possess a germ line.<sup>38</sup> We found that at 15°C, *glp-4* animals exposed to IR accumulated *ced-13* transcript as in wild-type animals. However, *glp-4* mutants grown at 25°C did not accumulate *ced-13* mRNA following IR exposure (Supplementary Figure 1). These results suggest that *ced-13* accumulation after IR treatment occurs primarily in the germ line.

The p53 tumor suppressor gene functions in mammalian cells to induce both cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis.<sup>1</sup> Mutations in the *C. elegans* p53-related gene *cep-1* prevent germ-cell apoptosis in response to DNA damage<sup>4,5</sup> but not cell-cycle arrest,<sup>4,5</sup> suggesting that *cep-1* may function downstream of the DNA damage checkpoint. To examine whether *cep-1* was required to induce *ced-13* transcription following DNA damage, we examined *ced-13* mRNA accumulation in response to IR in animals lacking a functional *cep-1* gene. As shown in Figure 4, *cep-1(lg12501)* mutants failed to accumulate *ced-13* (and *egl-1*) transcript even at high radiation doses. CEP-1 protein can function as a transcription factor and will bind to human p53 consensus binding sites.<sup>5</sup> Interestingly, the *ced-13* promoter contains several potential p53-binding sites, including two identical palindromic sites of sequence AAA-CATGTTT located 364 and 402 bp upstream of the *ced-13* start codon. These sites match the p53 half binding-site consensus sequence RRRCWWGYYY.<sup>39</sup> Furthermore, sequence inspection of the syntenic region in the related nematode *C. briggsae* revealed one copy of the identical half-site upstream of the putative *C. briggsae ced-13* gene (data not shown). Taken together, these results support a model in which CEP-1 protein, activated by DNA damage, binds directly to the *ced-13* promoter to activate *ced-13* transcription. CED-13 protein, in turn, could bind to and inhibit CED-9, resulting in the activation of CED-4, and subsequent activation of CED-3 to promote cell death (Figure 5).

## **Discussion**

Two mammalian BH3-only genes, *Noxa* and *PUMA*, are transcriptionally induced by p53 in response to DNA damage.<sup>9,10,13–15</sup> Mice deficient in *PUMA* display severe defects in apoptosis of various cell types in response to DNA damage induced by IR or etoposide, suggesting that *PUMA* is important for DNA-damage induced apoptosis.<sup>24,25</sup> Cells from mice deficient in *Noxa*, however, are generally not resistant to DNA-damage-induced apoptosis, although fibroblasts derived from these mice may show minor defects in apoptosis following etoposide treatment.<sup>25</sup> Neither *PUMA*- nor *Noxa*-deficient cells were as resistant to DNA damage as cells lacking p53. These observations indicate that *Noxa* and *PUMA* act downstream of p53 to promote apoptosis. Interestingly, the *C. elegans* BH3-only gene *egl-1* has a significant role in radiation-induced germ-cell apoptosis,<sup>28</sup> and, as shown in Figure 4c, *egl-1* mRNA also accumulated in a p53-dependent fashion following irradiation (see also Hofmann *et al.*<sup>40</sup>). Thus, as in mammals, *C. elegans*



**Figure 3** Phenotypic studies of *ced-13* mutants. (a) *ced-13* is not required for physiological germ-cell death. A representative histogram depicting the accumulation of apoptotic germ cells in adult *C. elegans* hermaphrodites in the absence of exposure to IR. All strains contained the *ced-6* mutation *n2095*, which blocks engulfment of apoptotic cells as well as the transgene *bcls39* ( $P_{lim-7}ced-1-gfp$ ), which marks apoptotic cells to allow for accurate scoring of cell corpse accumulation. Apoptotic cells were scored using DIC and epifluorescence as described in Materials and Methods. A total of 15–20 animals were observed per strain per time point. Data shown are from one representative experiment of three such experiments performed. No. germ-cell corpses, sum of apoptotic cells observed. Error bars represent the standard deviation. (b) IR-induced germ-cell death in *ced-13* mutants. The histogram depicts the number of germ-cell corpses observed, following exposure to X-rays of the indicated doses, of animals of the indicated genotypes. Apoptotic cells were scored using DIC microscopy. *ced-6(n2095)* and *bcls39* were not present in the strains analyzed. Error bars represent the standard deviation. Numbers above bars represent the number of animals scored. (c) Cell-cycle arrest of dividing germ cells in *ced-13(sv32)* and wild-type animals following exposure to 120 Gy of X-rays. Images are DIC photographs of the mitotically active region of the female gonad. Note that in both strains arrested nuclei are larger in exposed animals, indicating that cell-cycle arrest has taken place

possesses two BH3-only proteins that are regulated by p53. EGL-1, like PUMA, has a major role in DNA-damage-induced death, and CED-13, like Noxa, may play a minor role.

Furthermore, as in mammals, *egl-1* or *ced-13* single mutants, or *egl-1; ced-13* double mutants were not as resistant to DNA-damage-induced apoptosis as *cep-1/p53* mutants alone. This


result further supports the notion that there may be additional *cep-1/p53* responsive genes that are important for promoting DNA-damage-induced apoptosis (Figure 5).

The conservation of *ced-13* sequences between *C. elegans* and the related nematodes *C. briggsae* and *C. remanei* (Figure 1d) suggests that there has been evolutionary pressure to conserve this gene, despite minor defects in animals lacking *ced-13*. Why, then, might two BH3-only proteins be involved in DNA-damage-induced apoptosis in *C. elegans* and in mammals? One possibility is that each protein performs a different biochemical/cell biological function during apoptosis, and that these different activities are required for efficient and reproducible control of cell killing in response to DNA damage. For example, both CED-13 and EGL-1 may bind to CED-9; however, CED-13 may specifically bind to a rare conformation of CED-9. In this model, the surprisingly weak phenotype of *ced-13* mutants suggests that *ced-13* might serve to fine-tune the cell death process (Figure 5). Alternatively, although perhaps less likely, it is possible that both genes have the same functions, but respond to different stimuli. Thus, for example, *egl-1* may become activated more generally, in response to a variety of cellular stressors, whereas *ced-13* may be activated in response to a specific and rare type of DNA damage, such as persistent double-strand breaks that might occur during meiotic recombination. Our observation that *ced-13* kills better in the absence of *egl-1* (Table 1) hints at possible complexity in the interactions between the protein products of the two genes. The conservation of the DNA damage response and apoptotic pathways between *C. elegans* and vertebrates suggests that similar interactions between BH3-only proteins might also regulate the *in vivo* responses of vertebrate cells to DNA damage.


## Materials and Methods

### General methods and strains

All strains were grown at 20°C as described previously.<sup>41</sup> The wild-type strain used was *C. elegans* var. Bristol (N2). Alleles used in this study are listed below and are described by Hodgkin<sup>42</sup> except where noted otherwise: LGI: *ced-1(e1735)*, *glp-4(bn2)*,<sup>38</sup> *cep-1(lg12501)*,<sup>5</sup> LGIII: *ced-4(n1162, n3312)*, *ced-6(n2095)*, *ced-9(n1950)*; LGIV: *ced-3(n717, n2438)*<sup>43</sup>; LGV: *egl-1(n1084n3082, n3331)*<sup>44</sup>, *bcls39* (*P<sub>lim-7</sub>ced-1-gfp*,<sup>45</sup> this study); LGX: *ced-13(sv32, tm536)* (this study), *lin-15(n765)*.



**Figure 5** Model for DNA-damage-induced apoptosis in *C. elegans* female germ cells. DNA damage activates CEP-1/p53 in an unknown way, allowing for transcription of *ced-13* and *egl-1*. CED-13 and EGL-1 inhibit CED-9/Bcl-2, allowing CED-4/Apaf-1 to activate the CED-3 caspase, leading to cell death. CED-13 activity is much lower than that of EGL-1 (fainter inhibitory arrow), either because it is inherently weaker or because it is induced in response to rare types of DNA damage



**Figure 4** Increase in *ced-13* and *egl-1* mRNA levels following IR requires *cep-1*. (a) Wild-type or *cep-1(lg12501)* animals were examined for the presence of *ced-13* transcript under the conditions indicated. Transcript was detected by RT-PCR followed by separation of amplified cDNAs on an agarose gel. Minutes, time following irradiation; IR, exposure (+) or no exposure (-) to X-irradiation. (b) *ced-13* and (c) *egl-1* quantitative real-time RT-PCR following exposure of wild-type or *cep-1(lg12501)* animals to increasing doses of X-rays. Average of two representative qPCR reactions is shown. Error bars indicate standard deviation. Fold induction, comparison to cDNAs amplified from age-matched unirradiated animals

## Isolation of *ced-13* mutants and generation of transgenic animals

*ced-13(sv32)* and *ced-13(tm536)* were isolated using UV/trimethylpsoralen mutagenesis followed by identification of mutants using PCR and sib selection as previously described.<sup>46</sup> Strains were backcrossed five times. Animals containing  $P_{HS}ced-13$  transgenes were generated by integration of extrachromosomal transgenes harboring both a  $P_{HS}ced-13$  plasmid and marker plasmid, pRF4, encoding the *rol-6(su1006)* allele, as previously described.<sup>31</sup> Animals containing the  $P_{lin-7}ced-1-gfp$  transgene *bcls39* were generated by integrating an extrachromosomal transgene harboring the  $P_{lin-7}ced-1-gfp$  plasmid (pZZ630<sup>45</sup>) and plasmid pL15-EK (*lin-15(+)*<sup>47</sup>) in a *lin-15(n765)* background. Animals carrying the stably integrated DNA were backcrossed four times to N2.

## Microscopic analysis of mutant animals

**Overexpression in somatic cells:** Following heat shock, embryos were mounted for observation using DIC, as previously described,<sup>31</sup> and the number of cell corpses was counted. **Somatic cell death:** Extra cells in the anterior pharynx were counted in larvae at the L3 and L4 stages of development as previously described.<sup>48</sup> **Physiological germ-cell death:** animals were picked at the L4 stage and transferred to 9-cm plates containing 100  $\mu$ l *Escherichia coli* OP50 solution seeded the day before the experiment and incubated overnight at room temperature. Germ-cell death was measured 12, 24, 36, and 48 h after the L4 stage. Dead germ cells were counted using a GFP reporter specific for apoptotic cells ( $P_{lin-7}ced-1-gfp$ ) and DIC, as not all dead germ cells were visible with either method alone. The different strains were counted double blind. **IR-induced germ-cell death:** Animals were picked at the L4 stage and exposed to the indicated radiation dose from a Siemens X-ray source. IR-induced germ-cell death was measured at 12, 24, 36, and 48 h post-IR using DIC.

## Protein interaction studies

We used vector pAS1 for activation domain fusion constructs, and vector pACT2 for DNA-binding domain fusion constructs in the two-hybrid assays.<sup>49</sup> **Miller assays:** 1 ml of log-phase yeast cells was centrifuged for 5 min, washed with Z buffer (16.1 g  $Na_2HPO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ , 5.5 g  $NaH_2PO_4 \cdot H_2O$ , 0.75 g KCl, 0.246 g  $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$  in 1 l water), and resuspended in Z buffer with beta-mercaptoethanol (BME; 27  $\mu$ l/10 ml), 50  $\mu$ l  $CHCl_3$ , and 20  $\mu$ l 0.1% SDS and vortexed for 15 s. 700  $\mu$ l of o-nitrophenyl-beta-D-galactopyranoside (ONPG) substrate (1 mg/ml in Z buffer + BME) was added and the reaction was incubated for 30 min at 30°C, and stopped with 1 M  $Na_2CO_3$ . Reaction was centrifuged and absorption of supernatant measured at 420 nm. Miller units =  $A_{420} \times 1000 / (A_{600} \times \text{time (min)} \times \text{volume (ml)})$ . **LacZ filter assays:** Yeast were grown in patches on plates of selective media, and replica plated to a second set of plates containing a Whatman 50 circular filter. Patches were grown overnight, filter papers were then removed and frozen in liquid  $N_2$  for 10 s. Each filter was then placed on top of a second filter paper soaked in a solution of 30  $\mu$ l 2% X-gal in 2.5 ml Z buffer and incubated at 37°C. **GST pull-down experiments:** 10 ng of glutathione Sepharose purified GST fusion protein was incubated with binding buffer (142.5 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.6), 5 mM  $MgCl_2$ , 1 mM EDTA, 0.25% IGEPAL CA-630/Sigma, 2.5 mg/ml BSA) and *in vitro*-translated protein (TNT system, Promega) translated from vector pCITE-4a(+) (Novagen) containing cDNA sequences of the protein of interest for 60 min at 4°C. Complexes were washed 5  $\times$  in binding buffer, and analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

## *ced-13* and *egl-1* transcriptional regulation

Approximately 2000 worms per sample were developmentally synchronized by bleaching and then treated with IR. Total RNA was extracted with 1 ml GibcoBRL Trizol according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA synthesis was performed using Clontech 'Advantage RT for PCR kit' and 1.5  $\mu$ g of total RNA per reaction, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed with a Roche LightCycler and FastStart DNA Master SYBR Green 1 kit (Roche Applied Science) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Primer pairs (for *egl-1* 878 5'-tactctcgtctcaggactt-3' and 880 5'-catcgaagtcacgcacat-3'; for *ced-13* 706 5'-acgggtgttgagtgcaagc-3' and 707 5'-gtcgtacacagcgtgatg-3'; for *tbg-1* 710 5'-cgtcatcagcctgtagaaca-3' and 711 5'-tgatgactgtc-cactgtgga-3') were designed to generate intron-spanning products of 110–150 bp. The generation of specific PCR products was confirmed by melting curve analysis (which measures product specificity by the decrease in the fluorescence signal when the PCR product is denatured), gel electrophoresis (using Roche Agarose MS for analyzing small PCR products), and sequencing. Each primer pair was tested with a logarithmic dilution of a cDNA mix to generate a linear standard curve (crossing point (CP) plotted versus log of template concentration), which was used to calculate the primer pair efficiency ( $E = 10^{(-1/\text{slope})}$ ). Gamma tubulin (*tbg-1*) mRNA was used as an external standard. For data analysis, the second derivative maximum method was applied, and induction of *egl-1* cDNA was calculated according to Pfaffl.<sup>50</sup> ( $E_{egl-1}^{\Delta CP(cDNA)} / E_{tbg-1}^{\Delta CP(cDNA)}$ )<sup>0Gy-cDNA</sup> / ( $E_{egl-1}^{\Delta CP(cDNA)} / E_{tbg-1}^{\Delta CP(cDNA)}$ )<sup>1Gy-cDNA</sup>.

## Acknowledgements

SS would like to acknowledge the late Ira Herskowitz for advice and support during the initial stages of this study. We thank Mary Abraham and Carine Waase for comments on the manuscript, and Sebastian Greiss for assistance with the *glp-4* studies. ST was supported by grants from Cancerfonden and The Wallenberg Foundation. BC was supported by the Max-Planck Society, the European Molecular Biology Organization (EMBO Young Investigator Award), and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (HHMI award #76200-560801 to Dartmouth Medical School under the Biomedical Research Support Program for Medical Schools). AG was supported by the Max-Planck Society (Erich Nigg) and by the German DFG Grants GA703-1 to GA703-3. SS was supported by grants from the Helen Hay Whitney Foundation, the Kimmel Foundation, and NIH Grant R01HD042680.

## References

1. Michael D and Oren M (2002) The p53 and Mdm2 families in cancer. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 12: 53–59
2. Baptiste N, Friedlander P, Chen X and Prives C (2002) The proline-rich domain of p53 is required for cooperation with anti-neoplastic agents to promote apoptosis of tumor cells. *Oncogene* 21: 9–21
3. Brodsky MH, Nordstrom W, Tsang G, Kwan E, Rubin GM and Abrams JM (2000) *Drosophila* p53 binds a damage response element at the reaper locus. *Cell* 101: 103–113
4. Derry WB, Putzke AP and Rothman JH (2001) Caenorhabditis elegans p53: role in apoptosis, meiosis, and stress resistance. *Science* 294: 591–595
5. Schumacher B, Hofmann K, Boulton S and Gartner A (2001) The *C. elegans* homolog of the p53 tumor suppressor is required for DNA damage-induced apoptosis. *Curr Biol* 11: 1722–1727
6. Chao C, Saito S, Kang J, Anderson CW, Appella E and Xu Y (2000) p53 transcriptional activity is essential for p53-dependent apoptosis following DNA damage. *EMBO J* 19: 4967–4975

7. Jimenez GS, Nister M, Stommel JM, Beeche M, Barcarse EA, Zhang XQ, O'Gorman S and Wahl GM (2000) A transactivation-deficient mouse model provides insights into Trp53 regulation and function. *Nat. Genet.* 26: 37–43
8. Moroni MC, Hickman ES, Denchi EL, Caprara G, Colli E, Cecconi F, Muller H and Helin K (2001) Apaf-1 is a transcriptional target for E2F and p53. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 3: 552–558
9. Yu J, Wang Z, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B and Zhang L (2003) PUMA mediates the apoptotic response to p53 in colorectal cancer cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100: 1931–1936
10. Oda E, Ohki R, Murasawa H, Nemoto J, Shibue T, Yamashita T, Tokino T, Taniguchi T and Tanaka N (2000) Noxa, a BH3-only member of the Bcl-2 family and candidate mediator of p53-induced apoptosis. *Science* 288: 1053–1058
11. Lin Y, Ma W and Benchimol S (2000) Pidd, a new death-domain-containing protein, is induced by p53 and promotes apoptosis. *Nat. Genet.* 26: 122–127
12. Miyashita T and Reed JC (1995) Tumor suppressor p53 is a direct transcriptional activator of the human bax gene. *Cell* 80: 293–299
13. Yu J, Zhang L, Hwang PM, Kinzler KW and Vogelstein B (2001) PUMA induces the rapid apoptosis of colorectal cancer cells. *Mol. Cell* 7: 673–682
14. Nakano K and Vousden KH (2001) PUMA, a novel proapoptotic gene, is induced by p53. *Mol. Cell* 7: 683–694
15. Han J, Flemington C, Houghton AB, Gu Z, Zambetti GP, Lutz RJ, Zhu L and Chittenden T (2001) Expression of bbc3, a pro-apoptotic BH3-only gene, is regulated by diverse cell death and survival signals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 98: 11318–11323
16. Chittenden T (2002) BH3 domains: intracellular death-ligands critical for initiating apoptosis. *Cancer Cell* 2: 165–166
17. Muchmore SW, Sattler M, Liang H, Meadows RP, Harlan JE, Yoon HS, Nettlesheim D, Chang BS, Thompson CB, Wong SL, Ng SL and Fesik SW (1996) X-ray and NMR structure of human Bcl-xL, an inhibitor of programmed cell death. *Nature* 381: 335–341
18. McDonnell JM, Fushman D, Milliman CL, Korsmeyer SJ and Cowburn D (1999) Solution structure of the proapoptotic molecule BID: a structural basis for apoptotic agonists and antagonists. *Cell* 96: 625–634
19. Chou JJ, Li H, Salvesen GS, Yuan J and Wagner G (1999) Solution structure of BID, an intracellular amplifier of apoptotic signaling. *Cell* 96: 615–624
20. Li H, Zhu H, Xu CJ and Yuan J (1998) Cleavage of BID by caspase 8 mediates the mitochondrial damage in the Fas pathway of apoptosis. *Cell* 94: 491–501
21. Luo X, Budiardjo I, Zou H, Slaughter C and Wang X (1998) Bid, a Bcl2 interacting protein, mediates cytochrome c release from mitochondria in response to activation of cell surface death receptors. *Cell* 94: 481–490
22. Bouillet P, Metcalf D, Huang DC, Tarilinton DM, Kay TW, Kontgen F, Adams JM and Strasser A (1999) Proapoptotic Bcl-2 relative Bim required for certain apoptotic responses, leukocyte homeostasis, and to preclude autoimmunity. *Science* 286: 1735–1738
23. Bouillet P, Purton JF, Godfrey DI, Zhang LC, Coultas L, Puthalakath H, Pellegrini M, Cory S, Adams JM and Strasser A (2002) BH3-only Bcl-2 family member Bim is required for apoptosis of autoreactive thymocytes. *Nature* 415: 922–926
24. Jeffers JR, Parganas E, Lee Y, Yang C, Wang J, Brennan J, MacLean KH, Han J, Chittenden T, Ihle JN, McKinnon PJ, Cleveland JL and Zambetti GP (2003) Puma is an essential mediator of p53-dependent and -independent apoptotic pathways. *Cancer Cell* 4: 321–328
25. Villunger A, Michalak EM, Coultas L, Mullaer F, Bock G, Ausserlechner MJ, Adams JM and Strasser A (2003) p53- and drug-induced apoptotic responses mediated by BH3-only proteins puma and noxa. *Science* 302: 1036–1038
26. Shibue T, Takeda K, Oda E, Tanaka H, Murasawa H, Takaoka A, Morishita Y, Akira S, Taniguchi T and Tanaka N (2003) Integral role of Noxa in p53-mediated apoptotic response. *Genes Dev.* 17: 2233–2238
27. Metzstein MM, Stanfield GM and Horvitz HR (1998) Genetics of programmed cell death in *C. elegans*: past, present and future. *Trends Genet.* 14: 410–416
28. Gartner A, Milstein S, Ahmed S, Hodgkin J and Hengartner MO (2000) A conserved checkpoint pathway mediates DNA damage-induced apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in *C. elegans*. *Mol. Cell* 5: 435–443
29. Gumienny TL, Lambie E, Hartweg E, Horvitz HR and Hengartner MO (1999) Genetic control of programmed cell death in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* hermaphrodite germline. *Development* 126: 1011–1022
30. Conradt B and Horvitz HR (1998) The *C. elegans* protein EGL-1 is required for programmed cell death and interacts with the Bcl-2-like protein CED-9. *Cell* 93: 519–529
31. Shaham S and Horvitz HR (1996) Developing *Caenorhabditis elegans* neurons may contain both cell-death protective and killer activities. *Genes Dev.* 10: 578–591
32. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW and Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* 215: 403–410
33. Yasuda M, D'Sa-Eipper C, Gong XL and Chinnadurai G (1998) Regulation of apoptosis by a *Caenorhabditis elegans* BNIP3 homolog. *Oncogene* 17: 2525–2530
34. Shaham S and Bargmann CI (2002) Control of neuronal subtype identity by the *C. elegans* ARID protein CFI-1. *Genes Dev.* 16: 972–983
35. Stringham EG, Dixon DK, Jones D and Candido EP (1992) Temporal and spatial expression patterns of the small heat shock (*hsp16*) genes in transgenic *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 3: 221–233
36. Gartner A, MacQueen AJ and Villeneuve AM (2004) Methods for analyzing checkpoint responses in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 280: 257–274
37. Ahmed S and Hodgkin J (2000) MRT-2 checkpoint protein is required for germline immortality and telomere replication in *C. elegans*. *Nature* 403: 159–164
38. Beanan MJ and Strome S (1992) Characterization of a germ-line proliferation mutation in *C. elegans*. *Development* 116: 755–766
39. el-Deiry WS, Kern SE, Pietenpol JA, Kinzler KW and Vogelstein B (1992) Definition of a consensus binding site for p53. *Nat. Genet.* 1: 45–49
40. Hofmann ER, Milstein S, Boulton SJ, Ye M, Hofmann JJ, Stergiou L, Gartner A, Vidal M and Hengartner MO (2002) *Caenorhabditis elegans* HUS-1 is a DNA damage checkpoint protein required for genome stability and EGL-1-mediated apoptosis. *Curr. Biol.* 12: 1908–1918
41. Brenner S (1974) The genetics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* 77: 71–94
42. Hodgkin J (1997) Genetics. In *C. elegans II*, Riddle D, Blumenthal T, Meyer BJ and Priess J, eds Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press pp. 898–1048
43. Hengartner MO and Horvitz HR (1994) Activation of *C. elegans* cell death protein CED-9 by an amino-acid substitution in a domain conserved in Bcl-2. *Nature* 369: 318–320
44. Reddien PW, Cameron S and Horvitz HR (2001) Phagocytosis promotes programmed cell death in *C. elegans*. *Nature* 412: 198–202
45. Zhou Z, Hartweg E and Horvitz HR (2001) CED-1 is a transmembrane receptor that mediates cell corpse engulfment in *C. elegans*. *Cell* 104: 43–56
46. Jansen G, Hazendonk E, Thijssen KL and Plasterk RH (1997) Reverse genetics by chemical mutagenesis in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nat. Genet.* 17: 119–121
47. Clark SG, Lu X and Horvitz HR (1994) The *Caenorhabditis elegans* locus *lin-15*, a negative regulator of a tyrosine kinase signaling pathway, encodes two different proteins. *Genetics* 137: 987–997
48. Ellis RE and Horvitz HR (1991) Two *C. elegans* genes control the programmed deaths of specific cells in the pharynx. *Development* 112: 591–603
49. Bai C and Elledge SJ (1997) Gene identification using the yeast two-hybrid system. *Methods Enzymol.* 283: 141–156
50. Pfaffl MW (2001) A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29: e45
51. Brazas R and Ganem D (1996) A cellular homolog of hepatitis delta antigen: implications for viral replication and evolution. *Science* 274: 90–94

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on Cell Death and Differentiation website (<http://www.nature.com/cdd>)

**Supplementary Figure 1** *ced-13* mRNA accumulation following IR exposure occurs in the germ line. Induction was assessed as in Figure 4. Average of two representative qPCR reactions is shown. Error bars indicate standard deviation. Fold induction, comparison to cDNAs amplified from age-matched unirradiated animals.

Supp. Figure 1

