Article

LET-381/FoxF and its target UNC-30/Pitx2 specify and maintain the molecular identity of *C. elegans* mesodermal glia that regulate motor behavior

TRANSPARENT

PROCESS

0

OPEN

ACCESS

CHECK FOR

UPDATES

Nikolaos Stefanakis ¹, Jessica Jiang ¹, Yupu Liang^{2,3} & Shai Shaham ¹

Abstract

While most glial cell types in the central nervous system (CNS) arise from neuroectodermal progenitors, some, like microglia, are mesodermally derived. To understand mesodermal glia development and function, we investigated C. elegans GLR glia, which envelop the brain neuropil and separate it from the circulatory system cavity. Transcriptome analysis shows that GLR glia combine astrocytic and endothelial characteristics, which are relegated to separate cell types in vertebrates. Combined fate acquisition is orchestrated by LET-381/FoxF, a fate-specification/maintenance transcription factor also expressed in glia and endothelia of other animals. Among LET-381/FoxF targets, the UNC-30/Pitx2 transcription factor controls GLR glia morphology and represses alternative mesodermal fates. LET-381 and UNC-30 co-expression in naive cells is sufficient for GLR glia gene expression. GLR glia inactivation by ablation or let-381 mutation disrupts locomotory behavior and promotes salt-induced paralysis, suggesting brainneuropil activity dysregulation. Our studies uncover mechanisms of mesodermal glia development and show that like neuronal differentiation, glia differentiation requires autoregulatory terminal selector genes that define and maintain the glial fate.

Keywords Glia Development; *let-381*; Locomotory Behavior; Terminal Selector; *unc-30*

Subject Categories Chromatin, Transcription & Genomics; Development; Neuroscience

https://doi.org/10.1038/s44318-024-00049-w

Received 7 September 2023; Revised 22 January 2024; Accepted 26 January 2024 Published online: 15 February 2024

Introduction

Glia are abundant and diverse cellular components of most, if not all, nervous systems, and are anatomically positioned to affect every aspect of signal transduction and processing in the brain. Glia dynamically regulate neuronal activity in response to presynaptic cues, provide insulation around axons and at synapses, and supply trophic support for neuron survival (Allen and Lyons, 2018). Most glia, including astrocytes and myelinating glia, are derived from neuroectodermal precursors (Kastriti and Adameyko, 2017; Rowitch and Kriegstein, 2010). By contrast, other glia, such as microglia, which are born in the yolk sac and migrate into the CNS, arise from mesodermal progenitors (Ginhoux et al, 2010; Ginhoux et al, 2013; Ginhoux and Prinz, 2015). Transcription factors regulating the development of some neuroectodermal glia are known (Hochstim et al, 2008; Rowitch and Kriegstein, 2010; Wegner, 2020); however, less is understood about the control of mesodermal glia differentiation. Furthermore, only a few factors sufficient to confer specification of certain glia subtypes in naive cellular settings are known (Canals et al, 2018).

THE

JOURNAL

EMBO

The nematode C. elegans has been instrumental in uncovering basic principles of glia development and function (Shaham, 2015a). The nervous system of the adult C. elegans hermaphrodite contains 56 glial cells. 50 of these derive from the AB blast cell lineage, which also produces neurons and epithelial cells. Six GLR glia derive from the MS blastomere, which primarily generates body wall and pharyngeal muscle (Fig. 1A) (Sulston et al, 1983). Thus, as in vertebrates, C. elegans possesses glia of both neuroectodermal and mesodermal origin. Some genes affecting C. elegans neuroepithelial glia development have been characterized (Mizeracka et al, 2021; Shaham, 2015b; Wallace Sean et al, 2016; Zhang et al, 2020); however, virtually nothing is known about GLR glia development and functions. GLR glia extend intricate, non-overlapping sheetlike processes that ensheath the inner aspect of the C. elegans brain neuropil (the nerve ring; Fig. 1B) and that are adjacent to neuromuscular synapses (White et al, 1986). At the nerve ring, GLR glia are electrically coupled to the RME motoneurons through gap junctions (White et al, 1986), and uptake extracellular GABA (Gendrel et al, 2016). More anteriorly, GLR glia extend thin processes that fasciculate with sensory neuron dendrites. GLR glia also physically separate the nerve ring from the pseudocoelomic body cavity which surrounds the pharynx and acts as a rudimentary circulatory system. The proximity to synapses and to the circulatory system, the association with GABA, and the ability to phagocytose injured neurons (Altun and Hall, 2016; Nass et al, 2002; White et al, 1986) make comparisons between GLR glia and astrocytes tempting.

Here, we describe the transcriptome of adult *C. elegans* GLR glia, uncovering similarities with both astrocytes and endothelial cells.

¹Laboratory of Developmental Genetics, The Rockefeller University, 1230 York Avenue, New York, NY 10065, USA. ²Research Bioinformatics, The Rockefeller University, 1230 York Avenue, New York, NY 10065, USA. ³Present address: Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Boston, MA 02135, USA. ^{III} E-mail: shaham@rockefeller.edu



	Neurouralismiller receptors and transporters		
1	gene	protein class	ortholog
[acc-2	acetylcholine gated chloride channel	Gabr
	acr-14	nAChR chloride channel	Chrna
	acr-20	nAChR chloride channel	Htr3
	avr-14	glutamate gated chloride channel	Glra
l	gar-3	mAChR	Chrm1
	gbb-1	metabotropic GABA receptor	Gabbr1
l	gbb-2	metabotropic GABA receptor	Gabbr2
	glt-1	glutamate	Glt1 (Slc1a2)
	lgc-40	serotonin gated chloride channel	Gabr
	lgc-49	acetylcholine gated chloride channel	Gabr
	lgc-50	aminergic chloride channel	Gabr
	lgc-55	tyramine gated chloride channel	Gabr
	snf-1	neurotransmitter transporter	Ntt5
	snf-11	GABA transporter	Gat1 (Slc6a1)
	unc-38	nAChR chloride channel	Chrna

Pottasium channels			
gene	protein class	ortholog	
egl-23	TWIK family	Kcnk18	
kvs-5	Kv2 subfamily	Kcnb2	
twk-4	TWIK family	Kcnk2	
twk-9	TWIK family	Kcnk18	
twk-12	TWIK family	Kcnk5	
twk-16	TWIK family	Kcnk18	
twk-29	TWIK family	Kcnk1	
twk-44	TWIK family	Kcnk18	
unc-58	TWIK family	Kcnk5	
unc-103	Kv10-12 subfamily	Kcnh2	
Extracell	ular matrix genes		
adt-3	ADAMTS protease	Adamts6	
cle-1	collagen XVIII α1	Col18a1	
emb-9	collagen IV α1	Col4a1	
ensh-1	ECM predicted	Tnc	
let-2	collagen IV α1	Col4a2	
mig-6	papilin	Papln	
ost-1	sparc	Sparc	

Figure 1. Generation of a GLR-specific driver to study the expression profile of the mesodermal GLR glia.

(A) GLR glia (yellow boxes) derive from the lineage of the blast cell MS. This lineage produces mainly body wall muscle and pharyngeal muscle cells (green). GLR glia (yellow) are born at around the embryonic bean stage (360 min of embryonic development). The HMC cell and coelomocytes (CC) also derive from the MS lineage. Schematic adapted from (Sulston et al, 1983). (B) Schematic representation of the GLR glia (yellow). Pharynx is shown in green. The inset shows how *C. elegans* Nerve Ring (red) wraps around the sheet-like GLR glia processes. Schematic redrawn and modified from (Altun and Hall, 2016). (C) Cis-regulatory dissection analysis for the gene *nep-2* resulted in isolation of a GLR glia-specific driver, prom7 (red box). (D) Fluorescence image of an L4 *C. elegans* showing expression of *nep-2prom7::gfp* specifically in GLR glia. Anterior is left, dorsal is up, and scale bar is 10 µm. (E) Genes from three families (neurotransmitter receptors and transporters, potassium channels and extracellular matrix genes) are overrepresented among GLR-enriched genes.

We use the transcriptome to develop a molecular toolkit for labeling and manipulating GLR glia, which we use to identify and characterize two master regulators of GLR glia development. We show that LET-381, the C. elegans ortholog of the forkhead transcription factor FoxF, promotes GLR glia fate specification and maintenance and that UNC-30/Pitx2 transcription factor controls GLR glia morphology and represses the acquisition of an alternative mesodermal fate. Importantly, the expression of both transcription factors in a naive cell is sufficient to promote GLR glia gene expression. Through genetic studies, we order let-381, unc-30, and other genes into a pathway for GLR glia development. Finally, we show that let-381 autoregulation-deficient mutants, as well as animals in which GLR glia are genetically ablated, exhibit specific defects in locomotory behavior and are hypersensitive to salt, suggesting important roles for these glia in coordinating neuronal activity.

Results

GLR glia gene expression reveals similarities with astrocytes and endothelial cells

Although GLR glia reporters have been previously described, none are exclusively expressed in these cells (Krause et al, 1994; Ringstad et al, 2009; Ringstad and Horvitz, 2008; Warren et al, 2001; Yamada et al, 2010). To identify drivers allowing specific genetic manipulation and marking of GLR glia, we performed promoter dissection studies of known GLR glia-expressed genes (Appendix Fig. S1A–E), isolating a 150 bp cis-regulatory sequence from the gene *nep-2* that, when fused to *gfp*, promotes expression only in GLR glia (Fig. 1C,D). Expression of this reporter is first detected in first-stage (L1) larvae and is maintained through adulthood.

To identify genes regulating GLR glia development and functions, we generated a stable transgenic C. elegans strain expressing nuclear YFP using the nep-2 regulatory sequence (Appendix Fig. S1F). nep-2prom7::nls::yfp expressing cells were isolated from dissociated L4 larvae using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), and lysed to isolate mRNA. Following mRNA amplification and RNA-seq, we compared transcript abundances between GLR glia (YFP-positive) and all other cells (YFP-negative). We identified 886 genes with enriched GLR glia expression (P < 0.05, log₂-fold enrichment >1, Dataset EV1) out of 13,794 genes with any GLR glia expression (>50 reads, Dataset EV1; Appendix Fig. S1G). To validate this list, we confirmed the expression of 39 GLR glia-enriched genes using transgenic and endogenous gfp reporters (green/blue highlights in Dataset EV1). In addition, all previously known GLR glia genes show strong enrichment in our analysis.

(Mi et al, 2019; Thomas et al, 2022), we find that genes involved in synaptic transmission, including neurotransmitter receptors and transporters, potassium channels, and genes encoding extracellular matrix proteins, are overrepresented among GLR glia-enriched genes (Fig. 1E). Genes from these transcript classes as well as other GLR-enriched genes are also overrepresented in murine astrocytes (e.g., snf-11/Gat1, gbb-1/Gabbr1, gbb-2/Gabbr2, glt-1/Glt1, ensh-1/ Tnc, pll-1/Plcd4) (Batiuk et al, 2020; Yang and Jackson, 2019; Zhang et al, 2014), suggesting similarities between GLR glia and astrocyte transcriptomes. Genes encoding ion, amino acid, and neurotransmitter transporters, as well as extracellular matrix proteins are enriched also in endothelial cells of the blood-brain barrier (Munji et al, 2019), and other GLR-enriched genes, including let-381/Foxf, dep-1/Ptprb, tag-68/Smad6, T16A9.4/Ece1, gei-1/Dlc-1, slcf-2/Slc2a1, unc-115/Ablim1, mrp-2/Abcc6, are also enriched in endothelial cells of the central nervous system (Batiuk et al, 2020; Munji et al, 2019; Zhang et al, 2014). In vertebrates, astrocyte endfeet are found in proximity to endothelial blood vessels, and in C. elegans, GLR glia separate the circulatory cavity from the nerve ring. It is intriguing to speculate that to conserve cell numbers, C. elegans may have merged astrocytic and endothelial functions into the GLR glia cell type. Such functional and anatomic compression has also been observed in the C. elegans motor circuit (Gao et al, 2018).

Using PANTHER gene ontology over-representation analysis

let-381/FoxF is required early to specify GLR glia fate

To understand how the unusual fate merger of GLR glia arises, we sought to identify transcription factors that control GLR glia fate specification and differentiation (Table EV1). Transcripts encoding LET-381, the sole C. elegans ortholog of the Forkhead domain transcription factor FOXF (Amin et al, 2010), are highly enriched in GLR glia. Recent studies suggest that FoxF genes are expressed in phagocytic glia and independently in endothelial mural cells (Reyahi et al, 2015; Scimone et al, 2018), raising the possibility that LET-381 could govern a combined glia/endothelial gene expression pattern in GLR glia. To follow let-381 expression in developing animals, we used CRISPR/Cas9 to insert gfp coding sequences into the endogenous let-381 locus (Fig. 2A). Transgenic homozygotes display nuclear GFP fluorescence in likely GLR glia precursors (pre-bean; Fig. 2B), as previously identified by lineaging of a let-381 transcriptional reporter (Murray et al, 2012), and in GLR glia until adulthood (Fig. 2B). Expression is also detected in the head mesodermal cell (HMC) and in coelomocytes (Fig. 2B; Appendix Fig. S2A), cell types also generated by the MS lineage (Fig. 1A). Animals carrying the gfp reporter transgene do not exhibit the lethality associated with loss of let-381 (see below), suggesting that let-381 gene function is retained.



◀

Figure 2. let-381/FoxF is required for GLR glia fate specification.

(A) *let-381* genomic locus showing mutant alleles, reporters, fosmid genomic clones and RNAi sequences used in this study. (B) Expression of the endogenous *let-381:gfp* reporter at different stages during development. Dashed red boxes outline expression in GLR glia. (C) Absence of *nep-2prom7::rfp* expression in GLR glia (dashed red box) in homozygous *let-381(gk302)* mutants (right) as opposed to heterozygous animals (left). Quantification (number of GLR glia with *nep-2prom7::rfp* expression) is shown in the bar graph on the right. (D) Absence of *pll-1prom1::rfp* expression in GLR glia (dashed red box) in homozygous *let-381(h107)* mutants (right) as opposed to heterozygous animals (left). Quantification (number of GLR glia with *nep-2prom7::rfp* expression in neurons.
(E) Similar to GLR glia-ablated animals (schematic), *let-381(gk302)* homozygous mutants lacking GLR glia exhibit anteriorly displaced nerve ring (NR). Dashed red box outlines a neuronal axon of the NR. Red circle in schematic indicates the Nerve Ring and pharynx is shown in green. Quantification (F) Number of body wall muscle cells in the first three muscle columns of head and neck in wild type and *let-381(gk302)* mutants. Data information: unpaired t test was used for statistical analysis in (F). Anterior is left, dorsal is up and scale bars are 10 µm for all animal images. Source data are available online for this figure.

To determine whether let-381 promotes GLR glia fate specification, we introduced transgenic and endogenous GLR glia reporters into animals homozygous for the previously identified let-381 null alleles gk302 and h107. gk302 contains a deletion spanning LET-381 DNA binding domain encoding sequences; and h107 is a G to A substitution at a splice acceptor site (Fig. 2A). Animals homozygous for either allele undergo late-embryonic/early-larval arrest, with a few gk302 animals surviving to become sterile adults (sterility may reflect improper sex muscle specification in let-381 mutants (Amin et al, 2010)). Homozygous mutant gk302 or h107 animals fail to express five different GLR glia reporters that we tested (nep-2prom7::gfp, pll-1prom1::rfp, gly-18prom::gfp, hlh-1::gfp, unc-30::gfp) (Fig. 2C,D; Appendix Fig. S2B), suggesting that GLR glia are not generated in these mutants. Consistent with this, laser ablation of GLR glia precursors was previously shown to cause anterior displacement of the nerve ring (Shah et al, 2017) and we find a similar defect in let-381 mutants (Fig. 2E). In addition, the GLR glia sister lineage produces head body wall muscle cells (Fig. 1A), and we observe extra muscle cells in the heads of let-381(gk302) mutants (Fig. 2F). All let-381(gk302) mutant defects are rescued by a transgene containing the wild-type let-381 locus (let-381 fosmid WRM069bF08; Fig. 2A,E; Appendix Fig. S2C,D). Taken together, our results suggest that LET-381 is required for the specification of GLR glia, and in its absence, some GLR lineages adopt sister muscle lineage fates instead.

let-381/FoxF is continuously and cell-autonomously required to maintain GLR glia gene expression

Although LET-381 is required early to specify GLR glia, its expression during larval development and in adults suggests it may have additional later roles. To test this idea, we knocked down let-381 in GLR glia of let-381::gfp animals by RNAi, using transgenic constructs co-transcribing sense and antisense let-381 sequences (Esposito et al, 2007) from the postembryonic nep-2prom7 promoter. These animals, also homozygous for the RNAisensitizing allele eri-1(mg366), downregulate GFP expression specifically in GLR glia, but not in other let-381 expressing cells, confirming RNAi specificity and efficacy (Fig. 3A). Importantly, let-381 RNAi transgenes downregulate expression of GLR glia reporters for nep-2/neprilysin, hlh-1/MyoD/Myf, snf-11/GAT GABA transporter, unc-46/LAMP-like, gly-18/N-acetylglucosaminyl transferase, and lgc-55/tyramine receptor (Fig. 3B-G). Expression of unc-30/Pitx2 is not affected by let-381 RNAi (see below), allowing us to visualize the cells and determine that they are still generated. Indeed, let-381 RNAi animals neither display an anteriorly displaced nerve ring nor have extra head muscle cells (Appendix Fig. S3A,B). Thus, LET-381 is required postembryonically to maintain GLR glia gene expression, and this function is distinct from its role in generating GLR glia.

To probe dynamic functions of *let-381*, we used CRISPR/Cas9 to insert sequences encoding an auxin-inducible degron (AID) (Zhang et al, 2015) into the *let-381* genomic locus (Fig. 2A). In the presence of GLR glia-expressed TIR1 and a synthetic auxin analog (K-NAA) (Martinez et al, 2020), AID-tagged LET-381 protein is degraded within 2 hours specifically in GLR glia (Fig. 4A,C, upper left panel; Appendix Fig. S4). A three-day exposure to K-NAA starting either at the L1 or late-L4/young-adult stages downregulates *nep-2prom7::rfp* reporter expression (Fig. 4B–F). Thus, *let-381* functions cell-autonomously and is continuously required to maintain GLR glia gene expression, even in adults.

LET-381 binding motifs are required and sufficient for GLR glia gene expression

The expression of LET-381 in GLR glia throughout its life suggests it may function as a terminal selector, directly co-regulating expression of terminal differentiation gene batteries via a shared cis-regulatory motif (Hobert, 2011). To test this idea, we used sequences identified in our promoter dissection studies, ranging in size from 125 to 1022 bp, as input for the motif discovery tool MEME (Bailey and Elkan, 1994). This analysis identified a TGTTTA(C/T/G)A sequence common to all sequence inputs (Fig. EV1A). Remarkably, this sequence is highly similar to a previously identified FoxF binding sequence in mice (Peterson et al, 1997) and to a C. elegans LET-381 binding sequence identified through protein binding microarrays (Narasimhan et al, 2015) (Fig. EV1B,C). Notably, we find this motif in regulatory regions of all genes whose expression in GLR glia is downregulated by let-381 knockdown (Fig. EV1D). We refer to the TGTTTA(C/T/G)A sequence as the let-381 motif.

To assess how the motif affects gene expression, we used CRISPR/Cas9 to mutate it in different genomic locations (Fig. EV1F). As shown in Fig. 5, mutating *let-381* motifs in upstream regulatory regions of the *nep-2* and *pll-1* genes, fused endogenously to *gfp*, significantly reduces *gfp* expression (Fig. 5A,B). Animals homozygous for an endogenous *inx-18::gfp* insertion allele we generated localize GFP in bright puncta marking the gap junctions between the GLR glia and the RME motoneurons. Mutagenesis of the *inx-18 let-381* motif eliminates these bright puncta (Fig. 5C). Finally, disrupting either of two motifs in the gene *hlh-1* only slightly reduces endogenous *hlh-1::gfp* expression;



Figure 3. Postembryonic let-381 knockdown affects GLR glia gene expression.

(A-G) *gfp* or *mCherry*-based reporter expression (green) in GLR glia of endogenously tagged (A) *let*-381, (B) *nep*-2, and (C) *hlh*-1 and transgenic (D) *snf*-11 (E) *unc*-46, (F) *gly*-18, and (G) *lgc*-55 in wild-type (left column), GLR-specific postembryonic *let*-381 RNAi (middle column) and RNAi control animals (right column). Fluorescence images of L4 animals are shown. GFP expression in GLR glia (dashed white circles) is downregulated in the *let*-381 RNAi animals but not affected in RNAi control. RNAi and control lines carry a co-injection marker expressed in body wall muscle (magenta). Quantification is shown in bar graphs on the right. Each bar represents % of expression in each of the six GLR glia (DL, DR, LL, LR, VL, VR) in the three different backgrounds (wild type = black, GLR-specific RNAi = red, RNAi control = blue). Three independent extrachromosomal lines were scored for the RNAi and RNAi controls. Data information: anterior is left, dorsal is up, and scale bars are 10 µm for all animal images. Source data are available online for this figure.

however, disrupting both together completely abolishes expression (Fig. 5D), suggesting that in some contexts, LET-381 binds multiple sites in the same gene.

To further test the idea that *let-381* is a terminal selector gene, we identified motifs in 15 GLR glia-enriched genes whose expression was not previously verified. We then generated animals transgenic for sequences surrounding *let-381* motifs from each gene fused to *gfp* coding sequences (Table EV2). We found that *let-381* motif-containing regions (ranging in size from 149 to 254 bp) from 14/19 genes are sufficient to drive GFP expression in GLR glia. Our experiments, therefore, support the idea that *let-381* is a terminal selector gene, controlling the coordinate expression of genes expressed in differentiated GLR glia.

LET-381 regulates its own expression to maintain GLR glia identity

Given the requirement for let-381 in maintaining GLR glia gene expression even in adults, we wondered how let-381 expression itself is maintained. We identified a conserved let-381 motif upstream of the let-381 first exon (Fig. EV2A,B). We wondered whether, through positive feedback, this element could account for sustained let-381 expression through adulthood, and used CRISPR/ Cas9 to delete this motif. In mutant animals, let-381(ns1026), endogenous let-381::gfp expression is observed in GLR glia of beanstage embryos at levels similar to wild type. However, expression gradually wanes and is completely lost in L1 larva and older animals (Fig. 6A). Thus, let-381 is required to maintain its own expression through an autoregulatory let-381 motif. Expression of nine downstream GLR glia genes (but not unc-30, see below) is also gradually lost by the L1 stage (Figs. 6B-E and EV2C-G), further supporting the notion that LET-381 is required for GLR glia fate maintenance. Of note, let-381 autoregulation mutants exhibit neither an anteriorly displaced nerve ring nor extra head muscles, consistent with the RNAi and AID knockdown results.

unc-30/Pitx2, a let-381/FoxF target, controls GLR glia gene expression and shape

Our transcriptome studies revealed that transcripts encoding the transcription factor UNC-30/Pitx2 are also significantly enriched in GLR glia (Table EV1). UNC-30 was previously identified as a terminal selector of GABAergic identity in ventral cord neurons (Cinar et al, 2005; Eastman et al, 1999; Jin et al, 1994), and a recent study showed that GLR glia are GABA-positive by immunostaining and express the GABA-related genes *snf-11/GAT*, *gta-1/GABA-T*, and *unc-46/LAMP* (Gendrel et al, 2016). We found that animals carrying an endogenous *unc-30::gfp* reporter we generated (Fig. 7A) express GFP in GLR glia starting at the embryonic bean stage and

through to adulthood (Fig. 7B). We also observed *unc-30::gfp* expression in the ASG, AVJ, DD, VD, and PVP neuron classes, all derived from the AB lineage (Fig. 7B; Appendix Fig. S5A).

To determine whether unc-30 controls GLR glia gene expression, we crossed gene reporters into unc-30(e191) mutants, harboring an early nonsense mutation (Fig. 7A). Endogenous hlh-1::gfp expression is completely abolished in these animals, and expression of endogenous nep-2::gfp, transgenic nep-2prom7::gfp, or lgc-55prom::gfp is also lost, but mainly in lateral and ventral GLR glia (Figs. 7C,D and EV3B-D). Expression of transgenic gly-18prom::gfp, pll-1prom1::gfp and snf-11f^{osmid}::SL2::mCherry:H2B reporters is reduced but not abolished (Fig. EV3E-G). In most animals in which reporter expression is not extinguished, GLR glia anterior processes are shortened (Fig. 7C,E). Similar findings are observed with the unc-30(ok613) deletion mutant (Fig. 7A,C,E), and all GLR glia defects are rescued with transgenes carrying the wild-type unc-30 locus (Fig. EV3A). The segregation of these unstable extrachromosomal rescuing transgenes to MS-lineage but not AB-lineage cells is sufficient to rescue GLR defects of unc-30(e191) mutants, suggesting that UNC-30 functions cellautonomously in GLR glia (Appendix Fig. 5B-D). Thus, UNC-30 regulates GLR glia gene expression and also controls GLR glia morphology. Gene expression of dorsal GLR glia appears largely unaffected in unc-30 mutants. This suggests that UNC-30 has a more restricted effect on GLR gene expression than LET-381, and that other, yet unidentified transcription factors, may act as LET-381 cofactors to control gene expression in the dorsal GLR.

How might let-381 and unc-30 interact? We found that the fifth intron of unc-30 contains three conserved let-381 motifs located within an 88 bp sequence (Fig. EV3H,I). Sequences derived from this intron are sufficient to promote GFP expression in GLR glia (Fig. EV3H). Furthermore, mutating the upstream let-381 motif alone substantially reduces endogenous unc-30::gfp expression, and simultaneous mutation of the two upstream motifs, a mutation of the downstream motif alone, or a 169 bp deletion removing all three motifs all specifically abolish unc-30::gfp expression in GLR glia but not in other unc-30 expressing cells (Figs. 7F,G and EV3I). Remarkably, the unc-30(ns998) 169 bp deletion allele, which abolishes unc-30::gfp expression only in GLR glia, phenocopies the effect of unc-30 null alleles on GLR glia gene expression and anterior process length (Figs. 7D and EV3B), supporting the notion that unc-30 functions cell-autonomously in GLR glia. We found no effects of unc-30 loss on the expression of let-381; however, let-381 expressing cells are often displaced along the dorsoventral and left-right axes in unc-30 mutants (Fig. 8A,B). Together, these results support the conclusion that unc-30 acts cellautonomously to control GLR glia gene expression and anterior process length and that let-381 controls unc-30 expression in GLR glia. Previous studies suggest that UNC-30 may regulate its own



expression (Cinar et al, 2005; Hobert, 2011), suggesting that following initial LET-381 binding, *unc-30* may become independent of *let-381*. This notion is supported by our findings that *unc-30::gfp* expression in GLR glia is unaffected when *let-381* is knocked down post-embryonically (Fig. EV3J,K).

unc-30/Pitx2 represses expression of HMC genes in GLR glia

Wild-type GLR glia have small, sesame-seed-shaped nuclei. We noticed that in *unc-30* mutants, GLR glia nuclei are larger and

(A) Schematic representation of auxin-induced degradation (Zhang et al, 2015) of LET-381. TIR1 is transgenically provided and expressed specifically in GLR glia by the *nep-2prom7* promoter. (B) Timeline of the auxin-inducible depletion experiment. Synchronized populations of L1 or late-L4/Young-adult animals were placed on plates containing the auxin analog K-NAA and imaged after a three-day exposure to K-NAA. Fluorescence intensities of gene expression in GLR glia were compared to age-matched animals grown on control plates without K-NAA. (C, D) Fluorescence images showing the result of (C) larval and (D) adult depletion of LET-381 using auxin-inducible degradation. Animals grown on control plates without K-NAA (left panels) show expression of *let-381::gfp* (green) and *nep-2prom7::rfp* (magenta) in GLR glia (dashed white circles). Age-matched animals grown on K-NAA-containing plates (right panels) show depletion of endogenous *let-381::gfp* expression specifically in the GLR glia; as shown expression in HMC remains unaffected. As a result, expression of the GLR-specific *nep-2prom7::rfp* reporter is downregulated in GLR glia. (E, F) Quantification (mean fluorescence intensity in cell bodies) of (E) larval and (F) adult LET-381 depletion on expression of *nep-2prom7::rfp* propreter in each GLR glia. Cell bodies of Lateral and Ventral GLR glia are too close to be clearly distinguished, thus they were grouped (LL + VL, LR + VR) for quantification purposes for this experiment. Red lines in dot plots indicate averages. Data information: unpaired t test used for statistical analysis in (E, F). *n* = 16 for control and depletion in (E), *n* = 23 for control and *n* = 26 for depletion in (F). a.u. = arbitrary units. Anterior is left, dorsal is up and scale bars are 10 µm for all animal images. Source data are available online for this figure.

rounder, resembling the nucleus of the head mesodermal cell (HMC), another let-381-expressing cell (Fig. 8A). To determine whether unc-30 loss results in GLR glia acquiring additional HMC characteristics, we generated a nep-2prom7::tagrfp GLR glia reporter strain also expressing arg-1prom::gfp, an HMC reporter. We found that in unc-30(e191) mutants, some GLR glia that lose RFP expression now ectopically express the HMC reporter. unc-30(ns998) mutants exhibit similar defects (Fig. 8C,D). Likewise, two additional HMC reporters, glb-26prom::gfp and dmd-4::his24::m-Cherry, are also mis-expressed in GLR glia of unc-30 mutants (Fig. EV4A-C). While HMC-converted GLR glia retain let-381::gfp expression (Fig. EV4D), we never observe GLR glia expressing a mix of GLR glia-specific and HMC-specific reporters. Finally, we found that ectopic expression of the HMC reporter arg-1prom::gfp requires the DMRT transcription factor DMD-4 (Figs. 8D,E and EV4E), normally required for arg-1prom::gfp expression in the HMC (Bayer et al, 2020). We conclude that UNC-30 acts in GLR glia to repress dmd-4-dependent HMC-specific gene expression. This model succinctly explains the differential effect of unc-30 loss on the various reporters we tested (Fig. EV4B-G): snf-11, gly-18 and *pll-1* reporters are normally expressed in both GLR glia and HMC and are therefore less affected by an unc-30 mutation than nep-2, lgc-55, or hlh-1, which are expressed in GLR glia but not in HMC.

Does *unc-30* control of GLR glia-specific gene expression require inhibition of HMC gene expression? We found that even though the total number of cells expressing *let-381::gfp* is unaltered between wild type and *unc-30* mutants (6 total cells—excluding HMC; Fig. 8B), the number of cells expressing either a GLR glia or an ectopic HMC reporter rarely adds up to 6 (Fig. EV4F), indicating that there are GLR glia that lose GLR glia gene expression without acquiring HMC fate. Thus, it is likely that *unc-30* mediates GLR glia-specific gene expression independently of HMC gene expression suppression.

let-381 and *unc-30* are together sufficient to induce GLR glia gene expression

The broader effect of *let-381* loss on GLR glia gene expression suggests that expression of this gene in naive cells should drive the GLR glia expression program in these cells. Surprisingly, we found that this is not the case (Fig. 8F). Indeed, broad inducible misexpression of *let-381* cDNA using a heat-shock promoter results in minimal misexpression of the *nep-2prom7::tagrfp* GLR glia reporter. We found a similar result using *unc-30* cDNA alone. However, misexpression of both *let-381* and *unc-30* results in

 GLR glia-defective animals display locomotion abnormalities
 Our development of tools to manipulate gene expression and function specifically in GLR glia allowed us to interrogate the

cooperate with unc-30.

function specifically in GLR glia allowed us to interrogate the functions of these cells. To do so, we generated a nep-2prom7::egl-1 transgenic line driving postembryonic expression of EGL-1, a proapoptotic caspase activator, only in GLR glia. We observed GLR glia loss, rescued by the *ced-3(n717)* caspase mutation, confirming that GLR glia in this strain die by apoptosis (Fig. 9A-C). We next recorded movies and analyzed the locomotion of GLR glia-ablated animals freely moving on an agar plate without food (Katz et al, 2018; Katz et al, 2019). Remarkably, we found that while GLR gliaablated animals can move, they exhibit severe defects in all locomotion parameters we analyzed, including reduced locomotion rates, increased turning frequency, increased reversal rates, and increased pausing (Fig. 9D-K; Movies EV1 and EV2). These defects are similar to those observed following ablation of CEPsh glia, C. elegans astrocytes that line the outer aspect of the nerve ring and regulate synaptic function (Katz et al, 2018; Katz et al, 2019). In addition, while wild-type animals exposed to high-salt concentrations are initially paralyzed and then recover, GLR glia-ablated animals paralyze more quickly and recover at a much slower rate (Fig. 9L), suggesting that GLR glia may play an important role in the control of solute permeability and ionic balance in the nerve ring. Thus, GLR glia are important for coordinated locomotion and nerve ring function.

highly penetrant misexpression of nep-2prom7::tagrfp in many

cells, including body wall muscle, pharyngeal muscle, stomatoin-

testinal muscle and ventral nerve cord motoneurons (Fig. 8F,G).

Thus, let-381 is not sufficient to induce GLR glia fate and must

We noted that, like animals whose GLR glia progenitors are laser ablated during embryogenesis (Shah et al, 2017), *nep-2prom7::egl-1* ablated animals have anteriorly displaced and sometimes defasciculated nerve rings (Fig. EV5A). To determine whether the abnormal locomotion we observed is a consequence of these structural defects, we examined the behavior of axon-guidance mutant strains that also possess anteriorly displaced nerve rings (Kennerdell et al, 2009; Zallen et al, 1999). Indeed, these mutants also exhibit defects in all locomotion parameters tested. However, the magnitude of these defects is not always the same as in GLR glia-ablated animals (Fig. EV5B). Thus, while some of the locomotion defects may be attributed to structural defects in the nerve ring, it appears that animals lacking GLR glia may be



Figure 5. let-381 motifs are required for endogenous gene expression in GLR glia.

(A-D) Endogenous expression of (A) *nep-2*, (B) *pll-1*, (C) *hlh-1*, and (D) *inx-18* in wild-type and *let-381* motif-mutated animals (details on endogenous gfp reporters and molecular identity of *let-381* motif mutations are shown in Fig. EV1F). Animal images are on the left. Dashed circles outline expression in GLR glia. Quantifications are shown in the dot plots on the right. Data information: Mean fluorescence expression intensity for each GLR glia cell for (A, B, D) and number of bright gap-junction puncta for (C) is compared between the wild-type and *let-381*-motif-mutated backgrounds. Black lines indicate averages. Unpaired t test used for statistical analysis. a.u. = arbitrary units. Anterior is left, dorsal is up, and scale bars are 10 µm for all animal images. Source data are available online for this figure.

additionally compromised. To uncouple the physical positioning of the nerve ring from other GLR glia functions in C. elegans locomotion, we examined movement of animals carrying the let-381 autoregulatory motif mutation, which does not result in nerve ring displacement. This strain, let-381(ns1026), was generated from the let-381(ns995) strain, containing an insertion of gfp into the let-381 locus. As in GLR glia-ablated animals, we find specific defects in let-381(ns1026) mutants: animals change direction more frequently than let-381(ns995) controls (Fig. 9D), display a higher frequency of reversals (Fig. 9E), and tend to pause more often (Fig. 9F). Other behaviors defective in ablated animals are unaltered (Fig. 9G-K). Auxin-dependent LET-381::AID downregulation late in development (24 hour auxin exposure of L4 animals) does not disturb nerve ring positioning and affects locomotion to the same extent as the let-381(ns1026) autoregulatory mutation (Fig. EV5C). Unlike GLR ablation, neither let-381 autoregulatory mutant nor the let-381::AID knockdown result in hypersensitivity to high salt. We can only speculate that as opposed to GLR ablation, the GLR glia processes are still physically present in these mutants, and may therefore constitute enough of a physical barrier around the nerve ring, to protect it from the effects of sudden salt concentration shifts. Taken together, our observations support the conclusion that GLR glia regulate C. elegans locomotory behavior not only by ensuring nerve ring positioning but perhaps in non-structural ways as well, revealing a previously uncharacterized function for these cells.

Discussion

We describe here a gene regulatory network for the specification and maintenance of C. elegans GLR glia (Fig. 10A). Early in development, let-381/FoxF is required to specify GLR glia fate and to suppress sister-lineage body wall-muscle gene expression. It does so, in part, by promoting the expression of dozens of GLR gliaenriched genes, all of which possess cis-regulatory LET-381 binding motifs. Such a motif upstream of let-381 ensures that once turned on, the gene remains continuously expressed, as are its targets. Among these targets is unc-30/Pitx2, required for the expression of some let-381-dependent genes and for generating GLR glia anterior processes of appropriate length. unc-30 also independently prevents expression in GLR glia of genes and traits of the HMC, a noncontractile MS-lineage-derived cell (Choi et al, 2023). Together, LET-381 and UNC-30 can bestow GLR glia gene expression onto naive cells that do not normally express these transcription regulators.

While transcriptional control of glial cell fate is generally not well understood, neuronal fate specification by terminal selector transcription factors has been studied extensively in *C. elegans*, *Drosophila*, chordates, and mice (Hobert and Kratsios, 2019). These master regulators, often acting with a specific cofactor (Hobert, 2011; Hobert and Kratsios, 2019), establish and maintain neuron identity by co-regulating a wide variety of neuronal features, including gene expression and synaptic connectivity. Terminal selectors also ensure neuron identity by repressing alternative neuronal fates (Feng et al, 2020; Remesal et al, 2020). Here we show that similar fate control is exercised in *C. elegans* GLR glia by *let-381/FoxF* and its cofactor *unc-30/Pitx2*. It is therefore plausible that such mechanisms also specify the fates of glial cells in other settings, and may account for regional differences between glia in the vertebrate brain.

The formation of intestinal muscle from visceral mesoderm using FoxF transcription factors is conserved among Drosophila (Zaffran et al, 2001), planaria (Scimone et al, 2018), Xenopus (Mahlapuu et al, 2001) and the mouse (Ormestad et al, 2006; Tseng et al, 2004). In C. elegans, let-381/FoxF is not expressed in the 4 intestine-associated muscles. Nonetheless, it is expressed in GLR glia, the head mesodermal cell (HMC), and the endocytic coelomocytes, all derived from the mesoderm-like lineage of the blast cell MS. Similar to our findings in GLR glia, let-381/FoxF functions with ceh-34/Six2 in coelomocytes (Amin et al, 2010). Intriguingly, in planaria, FoxF1 promotes specification of phagocytic cells, including phagocytic glia (Scimone et al, 2018). These findings suggest that FoxF transcription factors are key cell-fate regulators of non-contractile mesodermally derived cells, including mesodermal glia. Indeed, single-cell sequencing of mouse CNS cells reveals FoxF1/2 expression in blood vessel endothelial and mural cells (Hupe et al, 2017; Saunders et al, 2018; Vanlandewijck et al, 2018; Zhang et al, 2014), with *FoxF2* driving pericyte differentiation and maintenance of the blood-brain barrier (Reyahi et al, 2015). While FoxF genes are expressed only at low levels in microglia (Vanlandewijck et al, 2018), it remains possible that these genes direct early microglia differentiation.

Intriguingly, cerebellar astrocytes, which often ensheath blood vessels, also express FoxF1 (Kalinichenko et al, 2003), raising the possibility that FoxF genes play a broader role in specifying the entire neurovascular unit. Supporting this idea, we show here that C. elegans GLR glia merge astrocyte and mural cell molecular and anatomical characteristics (Fig. 10B,C). In phylogenetically older species, such as sturgeons (subclass: Chondrostei), astrocytes are thought to be the main components of the blood-brain barrier (Bundgaard and Abbott, 2008). Might GLR glia, by analogy then, form a barrier that isolates the C. elegans nerve ring from the pseudocoelom? Unlike endothelial cells, GLR glia do not adhere to each other to form a tight seal, and gaps between the cells are evident on electron micrographs (White et al, 1986). Furthermore, neuronal cell bodies, which reside outside the nerve ring, are not ensheathed and could have direct access to materials within the pseudocoelom. Nonetheless, roles for GLR glia in blocking diffusion of synaptically released factors into the pseudocoelom, which can then have systemic effects, are plausible, as are more general roles in regulating the extracellular environment of the



Figure 6. let-381 positively regulates its own expression.

(A) Endogenous *let-381::gfp* expression in different development stages in two different backgrounds: wild type (top), *let-381* autoregulatory motif deletion (bottom). Animal images are shown on the left and quantification of expression in the L4 stage is shown on the right: percentage of each GLR cell expressing *let-381::gfp* in wild-type and autoregulatory motif deletion backgrounds. Red dashed circles outline GLR glia. (B-E) Effect of autoregulatory motif deletion on endogenous (B) *hlh-1*, (C) *nep-2*, (D) *pll-1*, and (E) *inx-18 gfp* reporter expression in GLR glia at different developmental stages. Quantification is shown on the right of each animal image panel for L4 animals. Red dashed circles outline GLR glia. Data information: unpaired *t* test used for statistical analysis in (E). Anterior is left, dorsal is up, and scale bars are 10 µm for all animal images. Source data are available online for this figure.



Figure 7. unc-30 acts downstream of let-381 to control GLR glia gene expression and the length of GLR anterior process.

(A) unc-30 genomic locus showing mutant alleles, reporters, fosmid genomic clones used in this study. (B) Expression of the endogenous unc-30::gfp reporter at different stages during development. Dashed red circles outline GLR glia. (C) nep-2prom7::gfp expression in a wild-type L4 (left) and a unc-30(e191) null mutant L4 animal (right). GFP expression is lost in the lateral and ventral GLR glia in unc-30(e191). The anterior process of the dorsal GLR glia still expressing GFP is shorter than that of a wild-type animal. (D) Quantification of percentage of each GLR glia cell with nep-2prom7::gfp expression (L4 stage) for different unc-30 mutant backgrounds. (E) Quantification of the length of GLR anterior processes (L4 stage) for different unc-30 mutant backgrounds. (F) Images of L4 animals showing differences in endogenous unc-30::gfp expression upon mutation of the *let-381* motifs present in the fifth intron of unc-30. Dashed red circles outline GLR glia and black asterisks denote expression in the ASG and AVJ head neurons. (G) Quantification of unc-30::gfp fluorescence intensity for each GLR glia cell; black lines in bar graphs indicate averages. Data information: unpaired t test used for statistical analysis in (G). a.u. = arbitrary units. Anterior is left, dorsal is up, and scale bars are 10 µm for all animal images. Source data are available online for this figure.

nerve ring. Indeed, our behavior studies of GLR glia-ablated animals and GLR glia mutants reveal disruptions in the coordination of locomotion, suggesting effects on neuronal signaling within the nerve ring. GLR glia are electrically coupled with the RME head motoneurons and head muscle and thus motor defects of GLR mutants could be due to disruption of synchronized activity of this gap-junction network. Alternatively, GLR glia mediated changes in neurotransmitter or neuropeptide signaling of an underlying motor network could account for the observed defects; indeed, some GABA, glutamate, acetylcholine, tyramine and putative neuropeptide receptors are enriched in GLR glia. GLR glia could also be important for regulation of potassium homeostasis in the extrasynaptic space, as supported by the over-representation of TWIK potassium channels among GLR-enriched genes, therefore having a broader role on neuronal excitability and synchronization. While nerve ring positioning is not affected in let-381(ns1026) mutants, microscopic structural defects may exist in individual neurons. Dissecting the effects of GLR glia mutants on neuronal development and activity would therefore be crucial to understand GLR glia roles in regulation of motor behavior. The similarities in locomotory defects between CEPsh astrocyte-ablated animals and GLR glia-ablated animals suggest that both glial types affect a similar set of underlying processes. CEPsh glia wrap around the outside aspect of the nerve ring, are not in direct contact with the pseudocoelom, and are not enriched for endothelial genes, further supporting the idea that GLR glia have merged astrocyte and endothelial functions.

Methods

Caenorhabditis elegans strains and handling

Animals were grown on nematode growth media (NGM) plates seeded with *E. coli* (OP50) bacteria as a food source unless otherwise mentioned. Strains were maintained by standard methods (Brenner, 1974). The wild-type is strain N2, *C. elegans* variety Bristol RRID:WB-STRAIN:WBStrain00000001. A complete list of strains generated and used in this study is listed below (Table 1). A few of the strains were previously published, and/or obtained from the Caenorhabditis Genetic Center (CGC), or the TransgeneOme project.

CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing

CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing was performed using Cas9, tracrR-NAs, and crRNAs from IDT, as described in (Dokshin et al, 2018).

Generation of deletion alleles was performed by use of two crRNAs and a ssODN donor as follows:

- *unc-30(ns998[*ns959])* [deletion of all three *let-381* motifs from the 5th intron of *unc-30*]:

crRNAs (tctcgtgtggtataaacaat, actcggggtacagataacta) ssODN (gtcaggtaagcagaaggcaggcatcaggagttaattgggaacataattaagaatgaaaaaatatatcaaca)

- *hlh-1(ns1015[*syb3025])* [mutation of the 1st *let-381* motif at +726 from tgtttaca to ccgcgg and a deletion from +743 to +807 containing the 2nd *let-381* motif at +765)

crRNAs (gtgtttacattgtgcaaact, tcttgaaaaattcgtagact) ssODN (atgggaatagtaaagggaggggggggcgcgcgggttgtgcaaactgggttaacccgttgtaaacataaatcgctaataggaa)

let-381 motif substitutions were performed by a single crRNA and a ssODN donor containing the desired mutations.

- *nep-2(ns1012[*syb4689])* [substitution of *let-381* motif at -1133 tgtttaca to ccgcgg]

 $crRNA \ (caattgaggaacactgggcg) \ ssODN \ (cattccgattcccacttggcacactgggcg) \ cattgaggacactgggcgc)$

- *pll-1(ns1040[*syb5792])* [substitution of *let-381* motif at –100 from tctaaata to ccgcggta]

crRNA (acattttggcgtcgacggcg) ssODN (tttctagtagtagcaacagctcacaagacattttgaagcgccgtcgacgccaaaaccgcggtagaaaagaagaaaaaggaaaaaaactggaaacgg)

- *hlh-1(ns1016[*syb3025])* [substitution of the 1st *let-381* motif +726 from tgtttaca to ccgcgg]

 $crRNA \quad (gtgtttacattgtgcaaact) \quad ssODN \quad (atgggaatagtaaagg-gaggggggtgccgcggttgtgcaataagccttttaatccattttagtttatttcctttttcttt)$

- *hlh-1(ns1027[*syb3025])* [substitution of the 2nd *let-381* motif at +765 from agtttatt to agccatgg]

- *inx-18(ns1010[*syb2879])* [substitution of the *let-381* motif at +364 from tctaaaca to aactgg]



% animals with ectopic expression of *nep-2prom7::tagrfp*

Figure 8. unc-30 represses HMC gene expression in GLR glia.

(A) Images showing *let-381::gfp* expression in wild type (left) and *unc-30(e191)* mutants (right). Expression is observed in GLR glia anterior to the pharynx bulb and the HMC above and posterior to the pharynx bulb. In wild-type background animals, GLR glia have a small sesame-like nucleus shape. In contrast in *unc-30* mutants, some GLR glia nuclei appear larger and more round (black asterisks), reminiscent to the nucleus of the HMC cell. In addition, GLR glia are often mispositioned along the dorsoventral or left-right axis in *unc-30* mutants. Red arrow points to dorsally mispositioned cells. (B) Number of *let-381::gfp* expressing cells is unaffected in *unc-30(e191)* null mutants. (C) Expression of GLR-specific *nep-2prom7::tagrfp* (magenta) and HMC-specific *arg-1prom::gfp* (green) in wild-type and *unc-30(ns998)* mutant backgrounds. Fluorescence images of L4 animals are shown. In the GLR-specific *unc-30(ns998)* mutant background, GLR glia lose GLR-specific RFP expression and ectopically express HCM-specific GFP instead (white arrow). (D) Quantification of ectopic expression of the HMC-specific *arg-1prom::gfp* in GLR glia in *unc-30(e191)* and *unc-30(ns998)* mutants. (E) Ectopic *arg-1prom::gfp* expression in *unc-30* mutants is not observed in the *dmd-4(ot933)* mutant background. Black arrows point to ectopic *arg-1prom::gfp* expression. (F) Percentage of animals displaying ectopic expression of the GLR glia-specific reporter *nep-2prom7::rfp*, upon heat-shocked animals (red boxes) are compared to age-matched non-heat-shocked controls. (G) Images showing animals with ectopic *nep-2prom7::rfp* expression in ventral nerve cord motor neurons and stomatointestinal muscle (upper panel) and body wall and pharynx muscle (bottom panel). Data information: unpaired t test used for statistical analysis in (**B**, **D**). Anterior is left, dorsal is up, and scale bars are 10 µm for (**A**, **C**, **E**). Scale bars are 100 µm for (**G**). Source data are available online for this figure.

- unc-30(ns1001[*ns959]) [substitution of the 3rd let-381 motif]
- crRNA (cctcttatgtcataaacaattgg) ssODN (ctttcgccccttcttgccagacatcatcttgaatcttatgtcccatggattggaggcgggggtactccgcttgtctcaac)

Generation of the *let-381* and *unc-30* knock-in reporters was performed by a single crRNA and a dsDNA asymmetric hybriddonor containing a linker::gfp::aid cassette for C-terminal tagging (see sequence below) and 120 bp flanking arms with homology to target sequence.

- *let-381(ns995[let-381::gfp::aid])* crRNA (gctattccacaagatttat)
- *unc-30(ns959[unc-30::gfp::aid])* crRNA (aagtggtccactgtactgac) Cassette sequence:

GGAGCATCGGGAGCCTCAGGAGCATCGATGAGTAAAG GAGAAGAACTTTTCACTGGAGTTGTCCCAATTCTTGTTG AATTAGATGGTGATGTTAATGGGCACAAATTTTCTGTCA GTGGAGAGGGTGAAGGTGATGCAACATACGGAAAACTT ACCCTTAAATTTATTTGCACTACTGGAAAACTACCTGTT CCATGGgtaagtttaaacatatatatatactaaccaaccctgattatttaaattttcagCCAACACTTGTCACTACTTTCTgTTATGGTGTTCAATGCTTcT CgAGATACCCAGATCATATGAAACgGCATGACTTTTTCAA GAGTGCCATGCCCGAAGGTTATGTACAGGAAAGAACTAT ATTTTTCAAAGATGACGGGAACTACAAGACACgtaagtttaaac agttcggtactaactaaccatacatatttaaattttcagGTGCTGAAGTCAAGTT TGAAGGTGATACCCTTGTTAATAGAATCGAGTTAAAAG GTATTGATTTTAAAGAAGATGGAAACATTCTTGGACACA AATTGGAATACAACTATAACTCACACAATGTATACATCA TGGCAGACAAACAAAAGAATGGAATCAAAGTTgtaagtttaa a catgattttactaactaactaatctgatttaaattttcagAACTTCAAAATTAGACACAACATTGAAGATGGAAGCGTTCAACTAGCAGACCATT ATCAACAAAATACTCCAATTGGCGATGGCCCTGTCCTTT TACCAGACAACCATTACCTGTCCACACAATCTGCCCTTT CGAAAGATCCCAACGAAAAGAGAGACCACATGGTCCTT CTTGAGTTTGTAACAGCTGCTGGGATTACACATGGCAT GGATGAACTATACAAACCTAAAGATCCAGCCAAACCT CCGGCCAAGGCACAAGTTGTGGGATGGCCACCGGTGA GATCATACCGGAAGAACGTGATGGTTTCCTGCCAAAAAT CAAGCGGTGGCCCGGAGGCGGCGGCGTTCGTGAAG

bold = linker

non-bold, non-italicized = gfp with introns

bold + *italicized* = auxin-inducible degron

Generation of the *fkh-9* knock-in reporter was performed as previously described in (Goudeau et al, 2021). Cas9, tracrRNA, crRNA and ssODN carrying the 2x(sfGFP₁₁) tag were injected, as described in (Dokshin et al, 2018), into strain CF4587 which ubiquitously expresses sfGFP₍₁₋₁₀₎. crRNA (ccagtcttttcttctaat), ssODN (ctttctccaatcaaggccgagagccagtcttttcttcttcaaggaggaggagccggtgaggtcacggcggagccacacatgggccagtccccctccccgtgacca-catggtcctccacgagtacgtcaacgccggaatcacctggagaccagttctgat-

gaattagtcgcagttacg) The following knock-in reporter alleles were generated by SUNY Biotech:

- hlh-1(syb3025[hlh-1::gfp::aid]) C-terminal tagging with linker::gfp::aid.
- *inx-18(syb2879[inx-18::aid::emgfp])* tagged with *aid::emgfp* at position +6629 bp from *inx-18* start codon.
- *nep-2(syb4689[gfp::h2b::sl2::nep-2])* N-terminal tagging with *gfp::h2b::sl2*.
- pll-1(syb5792[pll-1::sl2::gfp::h2b]), gbb-1(syb5704[gbb-1::sl2::gfp:: h2b]), gbb-2(syb5759[gbb-2::sl2::gfp::h2b]) C-terminal tagging with sl2::gfp::h2b.

Generation of transgenic reporters and rescuing constructs and neuron identification

All reporter gene fusions for the *cis*-regulatory analysis and the minimal promoters containing *let-381* motifs were generated by a PCR fusion approach (Hobert, 2002). Genomic promoter fragments were fused to *gfp* or *tagrfp* followed by the *unc-54* 3' UTR. Promoters were initially amplified with primers A (forward) and B (reverse) and gfp or tagrfp was amplified by primers C (forward) and D (reverse). For the fusion step, amplification was done using primers A* and D* as previously described (Hobert, 2002). PCR fusion DNA fragments were injected as simple extrachromosomal arrays in a *pha-1(e2123)* mutant background strain in the following concentrations: promoter fusion (50 ng/µL), *pha-1* rescuing plasmid (pBX) (50 ng/µL). Promoter size and distance from the start codon for each promoter is shown in Fig. 1C for *nep-2*, Appendix Fig. S1A–E for *lgc-55, egl-6, gly-18, hlh-1, inx-18*, respectively, and



Figure 9. GLR glia-defective animals display locomotion abnormalities and hypersensitivity at high-salt concentrations.

(A-C) Images of L4 animals showing expression of *gly-18prom::gfp* reporter in (A) wild-type, (B) GLR glia ablation and (C) GLR glia ablation; *ced-3(n717)* backgrounds. GLR glia are outlined by red dashed circles. Black asterisks denote expression in a neuronal cell just above the dorsal GLR glia and red arrowheads point to HMC cells. In the GLR glia-ablation background, the nerve ring is anteriorly displaced as noted by a head muscle arm (gray arrowhead) penetrating the nerve ring at the anterior pharynx bulb. The HMC sister cell that normally dies by programmed apoptotic cell death in a wild-type animal, survives and also expresses *gly-18prom::gfp* in the *ced-3(n717)* mutant background in (C). (D-K) Locomotion parameters of foraging wild-type (black), control (gray), GLR glia-defective (red), and GLR glia-ablated (purple) animals were analyzed using automated tracking (Katz et al, 2018; Katz et al, 2019). (D) change of direction frequency, (E) "front to back" reversal frequency, (F) % time spent paused, (G) average speed, (H) omega turn frequency, (I)% direct "back to front" reversal after backward movement, (J) % time spent moving backwards, (K) mean duration of backward movement. Black lines in dot plots indicate averages. (L) GLR glia-ablated animals paralyze at a significantly higher rate than wild-type animals also recover motility at a significantly lower rate. Data information: unpaired *t* test was used for statistical analysis in (D-L), *<0.05 in (L). For (L), 4 replicates were performed per genotype, n = 20-25 for replicate, error bars indicate standard error of the mean. Anterior is left, dorsal is up, and scale bars are 10 µm for all animal images. Source data are available online for this figure.

Fig. EV3H for *unc-30*. Standard 20 bp oligos were used to amplify these promoters from wild-type (N2) genomic DNA. Oligos are listed in Appendix Table S1.

The minimal GLR glia-specific *nep-2prom7* (amplification primers: cccattccgattcccacttg, cttaaaatatgatgaggtcg) was subcloned by Gibson cloning into the *TIR1* coding plasmid pLZ31 (Zhang et al, 2015). *nep-2p7::TIR1::unc-54* 3'UTR was amplified by PCR and injected at 50 ng/ μL with *unc-122::mCherry* (50 ng/μL) as co-injection marker. Similarly, *nep-2prom7* was subcloned by Gibson cloning into the *egl-1* expressing plasmid pTB28 (Bacaj et al, 2008). *nep-2prom7::egl-1::unc-54* 3'UTR was amplified by PCR and injected at 50 ng/μL with *myo-3prom::mCherry* (50 ng/μL) as co-injection marker.

Rescuing *let-381* fosmids were injected as simple extrachromosomal arrays at 50 ng/ μ L with a *myo-3prom::mCherry* co-injection marker (25 ng/ μ L) and pBluescript (25 ng/ μ L) as filler DNA to reach a minimum DNA injection concertation of 100 ng/ μ L.

Rescuing *unc-30* fosmids were linearized using NotI (NEB R3189S) and injected as complex extrachromosomal arrays in the following concentrations: linearized fosmid (30 ng/µL), linearized *unc-122prom::gfp* (5 ng/µL) as co-injection marker, sonicated *E. coli* (strain OP50) genomic DNA (100 ng/µL).

Rescuing "unc-30 whole locus", including a 2.8kB promoter, unc-30 gene with introns and 3'UTR, was PCR amplified from genomic DNA (primers ctgttgctcgaaaacttccg, gattaggtagaaggtagaga) and injected as simple extrachromosomal arrays at 50 ng/ μ L with unc-122prom::gfp (40 ng/ μ L) as co-injection marker.

Integrated transgenic constructs (*nsIs700*, *nsIs746*, *nsIs758*, *nsIs831*, *nsIs835*, *nsIs879*, *nsIs854*) were generated by exposure to 33.4 µg/mL trioxsalen (Sigma T6137) and UV irradiation using a Stratagene Stratalinker UV 2400 Crosslinker (360 µJ/cm² × 100) as previously described (Kage-Nakadai et al, 2014).

Fosmid reporters were generated as previously described (Tursun et al, 2009). More specifically, *gly-18* and *gpx-8* fosmids (WRM0641dF02 and WRM065dE01, respectively) were tagged at the C-terminus of the target genes with SL2::YFP::H2B amplified from pBALU23 (Stefanakis et al, 2015). Fosmid reporters were linearized using NotI and injected in the *pha-1(e2123)* mutant background strain as complex extrachromosomal arrays in the following concentrations: linearized fosmid (30 ng/ μ L), linearized pBX (pha-1 rescuing plasmid) (2.5 ng/ μ L), sonicated *E.coli* (strain OP50) genomic DNA 100 ng/ μ L.

Colocalization with the NeuroPAL transgene *otIs669* as previously described (Yemini et al, 2021), was used to identify the neurons expressing *unc-30::gfp*.

Temporally controlled, GLR glia-specific LET-381 protein degradation

Exposure of C. elegans to a synthetic auxin analog K-NAA results in ubiquitination and subsequent proteasomal degradation of auxin-inducible degron (AID)-tagged proteins (Martinez et al, 2020). This occurs only in the presence of transgenically provided TIR1, the substrate recognition component of the E3 ubiquitin ligase complex. Strains carrying *let-381::gfp::aid* [*let-381(ns995)*] were crossed into a strain expressing TIR1 specifically in GLR glia (nsIs879 [nep-2prom7::TIR1]). K-NAA, 1-napthaleneacetic Acid Potassium Salt (PhytoTech Labs #N610), was dissolved in sterile H₂O to prepare a 200 mM stock solution. OP50-seeded NGM plates were coated with K-NAA for a final concentration of 4 mM. Synchronized populations of L1 or late-L4/young-adult animals were transferred on K-NAA plates and grown at 20 °C for the duration of the experiment. Age-matched animals placed on OP50-seeded NGM plates coated with sterile H₂O, were used as controls.

Cell-specific RNAi

A *let-381* fragment, spanning from +421 to +1578 from the *let-381* start codon (Fig. 2A), was amplified by PCR and fused in the sense and antisense orientation under the GLR glia-specific promoter *nep-2prom7* (method described in (Esposito et al, 2007)). These sense and antisense *let-381* fragments were injected at 40 ng/µL each, together with 25 ng/µL of the co-injection marker *myo-3prom::mCherry* driving expression in body wall muscle. Animals injected with unfused *nep-2prom7* (40 ng/µL) together with the co-injection marker *myo-3prom::mCherry* (25 ng/µL) were used as controls. Three independent extrachromosomal arrays were scored for each genotype. GLR glia are refractory to RNAi; thus, RNAi-sensitized background strains carrying *eri-1(mg366)* (Kennedy et al, 2004) were used for these experiments.

Mosaic analysis

unc-30(e191); nsIs746 [nep-2prom7::gfp] animals were injected with extrachromosomal arrays consisting of NotI digested unc-30 fosmid WRM0617aE02 (30 ng/µL), rab-3prom1::2xnls::tagrfp (panneuro-nal::rfp) plasmid (35 ng/µL), unc-122:rfp (coelomocyte::rfp) plasmid (35 ng/µL) and sonicated E. coli (strain OP50) gDNA (80 ng/µL). Once extrachromosomal lines were established, mosaic animals

carrying the rescuing array in the MS lineage or the AB lineage were picked based on RFP expression under a dissecting fluorescence microscope. Rescue of the *unc-30(e191)* mutant phenotype on GLR gene expression (*nsIs746*) was then assessed by imaging of mosaic animals on a confocal microscope.

Heat-shock-induced misexpression

One step RT-PCR (Invitrogen #12594025) was used to isolate *let-381* and *unc-30* cDNAs (primers for *let-381*: atggaatgctcaacag, ctagcaatccga-taaatc, primers for *unc-30*: atggatgacaatacggccac, ctaaagtggtccactgtact),

Figure 10. Regulatory network for the specification and identity maintenance of the C. elegans GLR glia.

(A) Schematic of the regulatory network identified in this study controlling fate specification and differentiation of GLR glia. (B) At the neurovascular unit, endothelial cells (dark blue), pericytes (light blue), and astrocytic endfeet (yellow) form the Blood-Brain Barrier isolating the central nervous system (CNS—red) from blood circulation (gray). (C) Similarly, the GLR glia sheet-like processes (yellow with blue stripes to show a mixed astrocytic-endothelial/mural fate) isolate the *C. elegans* nerve ring (red) from the pseudocoelom (gray). A thin layer of head muscle arms (green) penetrates the *C. elegans* nerve ring and therefore GLR flat processes are in close proximity to head neuromuscular junctions (White et al, 1986). The pseudocoelom is shown larger than its actual volume.

which were subsequently cloned under the heat-shock inducible promoter *hsp-16.2* by Gibson cloning. These constructs were injected at 50 ng/ μ L to generate transgenic lines carrying *hsp-16.2::let-381* cDNA (*nsEx6533*, *nsEx6534*), or *hsp-16.2::unc-30* cDNA (*nsEx6535*, *nsEx6536*) or both (*nsEx6530*, *nsEx6531*) and crossed into a strain expressing RFP specifically in GLR glia (*nsIs700* [*nep-2prom7::tagrfp1*). Twenty-five 1-day adult animals (P0) from each genotype were heat-shocked by incubating parafilm plates in a 32 °C water bath for 2.5 h. Heat-shocked P0s were kept at 25 °C and allowed to lay progeny (F1s) for 15 h before being removed from the plate. F1 progeny were grown at 25 °C for another 24 h and then scored for ectopic expression of the GLR glia-specific reporter. Age-matched, non-heat-shocked animals were used as controls.

High-salt motility assays

One day adult animals (24 h after L4 stage, blinded for each genotype) were placed on plates without food, containing 300 mM NaCl and scored for their motility over a period of 60 min: every 1 min for the first 15 min and every five minutes after that. Animals were score for loss of motility (paralysis) and recovery from paralysis. Twenty to twenty-five animals were tested for each genotype in four replicate experiments.

Analysis of animal locomotion

Locomotion of wild-type, GLR glia-ablated (nsIs854), GLR gliadefective let-381(ns1026), and control let-381(ns995) animals were recorded and analyzed as described in detail in (Katz et al, 2018; Katz et al, 2019). Briefly, 20-40 1-day adult animals were picked to an unseeded plate, washed three times with M9 buffer, and transferred to a 6 cm plate containing 4 ml of NGM-agar without food, with a high-osmolarity barrier (4 M fructose) at the periphery of the plate to prevent wandering of animals off the plate. Animals were allowed to habituate for 20 min, then the plate was placed under a camera and locomotion was recorded for 30 min at two frames per second and thereafter analyzed as previously described (Katz et al, 2018; Katz et al, 2019). Several plates were recorded and analyzed for each genotype (29 for wild-type, 37 for control, and 44 for GLR glia-defective animals) over the span of three days. For motor behavior assays with auxin-dependent LET-381::AID downregulation, synchronized let-381(ns995); nsIs879 L4 larvae were grown on OP50-seeded, 4 mM K-NAA plates for 24 h prior to motor behavior recordings. Locomotion assay plates also contained 4 mM of K-NAA. Age-matched animals of the same genotype, never exposed to K-NAA were used as control.

FACS-based GLR glia glia cell isolation

For isolation of the GLR glia, synchronized L1 larvae expressing nuclear-localized YFP in the GLR glia cells (OS11715) were grown

on thirty 10-cm plates seeded with HB101 E. coli bacteria. After 48 h at 20 °C, L4 larvae animals were washed off plates and subsequently washed ten times with M9 to remove excess bacteria. Each wash consisted of a brief (10 s, 1300 rpm) centrifugation, such that most animals were pelleted, but bacteria stayed in suspension. Animals were then dissociated using SDS-DTT (0.25% SDS; 200 mM DTT; 20 mM HEPES, pH 8.0; 3% sucrose) and Pronase E (15 mg/ml) as previously described (Katz et al, 2019). We used 2:1 ratio of SDS-DTT to a volume of packed animals pellet, followed by 4 min incubation on ice. After five washes with ice-cold egg buffer (1.18 M NaCl; 480 mM KCl; 20 mM CaCl₂; 20 mM MgCl₂; 250 mM HEPES, pH 7.3), 4:1 ratio of room temperature Pronase E was added to the packed animals pellet and animals were then incubated rotating at 20 °C for 5 min, followed by 12 min of gentle homogenization (2 mL Dounce homogenizer, pestle clearance 0.0005-0.0025 inches, DWK Life Sciences). After three washes with ice-cold egg buffer to remove Pronase E, cells were filtered through a 5 μ M filter to remove undigested animal fragments and immediately sorted by FACS.

GLR glia cell sorting was done using a BD FACS Aria sorter equipped with a 488-nm laser (Rockefeller University Flow Cytometry Resource Center), with egg buffer as the sheath buffer to preserve cell viability. Dead cell exclusion was carried out using DAPI, while DRAQ5 was used to distinguish nucleated cells from non-nucleated cell fragments. Gates for size and granularity were adjusted to exclude cell aggregates and debris. Gates for fluorescence were established using wild-type (N2) nonfluorescent animals. 500,000-800,000 YFP-positive events were sorted per replicate (4 replicates total), representing 0.3-0.7% of total events (after scatter exclusion), which is roughly the expected labeled-cell frequency in the animal (~0.6%). YFP-negative events from the same gates of size and granularity, representing all other cell types, were also sorted for comparison. Cells were sorted directly into TRIzol LS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a ratio 3:1 (TRIzol to cell volume).

RNAi extraction and sequencing

RNA was extracted from the sorted cells following the TRIzol LS protocol guidelines, until the isopropanol precipitation step, then RNA was re-suspended in extraction buffer of an RNA isolation kit (PicoPure, Arcturus) and isolation continued according to the manufacturer's guideline. This two-step purification protocol helps obtain RNA of high quality when starting with samples of large volumes and resulted in a yield of around 10–80 ng per replica with RNA integrity number (RIN) \geq 8, as measured by a Bioanalyzer (Agilent). All subsequent steps were performed by the Rockefeller University Genomics Resource Center. Briefly, mRNA amplification and cDNA preparation were performed using the SMARTer mRNA amplification kit (Takara #634940). Labeled samples were

Table 1. List of strains used and generated in this study.

Strain name	Genotype	Comment	Reference
N2	wild type		CGC
VC706	let-381(gk302) l/hT2 (l;lli)		(C. elegans Deletion Mutant Consortium 2012)
KR429	dpy-5(e61) let-381(h107) unc-13(e450) l; sDp2 (l;f)		(Howell et al, 1987)
CB845	unc-30(e191) IV		(Brenner, 1974)
VC295	unc-30(ok613) IV		(<i>C. elegans</i> Deletion Mutant Consortium 2012)
GR1373	eri-1(mg366) IV		(Kennedy et al, 2004)
CX3198	sax-3(ky123) X		(Zallen et al, 1999)
VC636	cwn-2(ok895) IV		(<i>C. elegans</i> Deletion Mutant Consortium 2012)
NG2615	cam-1(gm122) II		(Forrester et al, 1999)
DE60	dnls13 [gly-18prom::gfp + unc-119(+)] l; unc-119(e2498)		(Warren et al, 2001)
MT20492	lin-15B&lin-15A(n765) X l; nls471 [lgc-55prom::gfp + lin-15(+)]		(Ringstad et al, 2009)
OH13025	otls567 [unc-46(fosmid)::SL2::H2B::mCHOPTI) + pha-1(+)]		(Gendrel et al, 2016)
OH13027	otls569 [snf-11(fosmid)::SL2::H2B::mChopti + pha-1(+)]		(Gendrel et al, 2016)
PD4443	ccls4443 [arg-1prom::gfp + dpy-20(+)] IV		(Kostas and Fire, 2002)
SD1633	ccls4251 [(pSAK2) myo-3p::GFP::LacZ::NLS + (pSAK4) myo-3p::mitochondrial GFP + dpy-20(+)] l; stls10539 [dmd-4p::HIS-24::mCherry + unc-119(+)]		(Liu et al, 2009)
OH16770	ccls4443 [arg-1prom::gfp + dpy-20(+)] IV; him-5 (e1490) V ; dmd-4(ot933) X		(Bayer et al, 2020)
OP185	unc-119 (ed3) III; wgls185 [fkh-2-1::TY1::EGFP::3xFLAG(92C12) + unc-119(+)]		(Sarov et al, 2006)
BC10849	dpy-5(e907) l; sls10707 [sre-6prom::GFP + dpy-5(+)]		(McKay et al, 2003)
OP447	unc-119(tm4063) III; wgls447 [tag-68::TY1::EGFP::3xFLAG + unc-119(+)]		(Sarov et al, 2006)
OH9545	otls287 [rab-3prom1::2xnsl::yfp, rol-6(su1006)] IV		(Stefanakis et al, 2015)
OH441	otls45 [unc-119prom::gfp]		(Altun-Gultekin et al, 2001)
CF4587	muls253 [eft-3prom::sfGFP1-10::unc-54 3'UTR + Cbr-unc-199(+)] II ; unc-119(ed3) III		(Goudeau et al, 2021)
JN332	peEx3332 [nep-2prom(S)::Venus, rol-6(su1006)]		(Yamada et al, 2010)
OH15262	otls669 (NeuroPAL)	·	(Yemini et al, 2021)
OS11153	nsEx5558 [nep-2prom1::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11157	nsEx5562 [nep-2prom2::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11158	nsEx5563 [nep-2prom3::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III	ľ	This study
OS11162	nsEx5567 [nep-2prom4::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11163	nsEx5568 [nep-2prom5::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11165	nsEx5570 [nep-2prom6::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III	·	This study
OS11166	nsEx5571 [nep-2prom7::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III ; otIs356 [rab-3prom1::NLS-TagRFP] V		This study
OS11176	nsEx5581 [lgc-55prom1::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11177	nsEx5582 [lgc-55prom2::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11178	nsEx5583 [lgc-55prom3::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11180	nsEx5585 [lgc-255prom4::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11181	nsEx5586 [lgc-55prom5::GFP, pha-1(+) line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11182	nsEx5587 [lgc-55prom6::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11183	nsEx5588 [lgc-55prom7::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11017	nsEx5824 [egl-6prom1::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11232	nsEx5825 [egl-6prom2::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11234	nsEx5827 [egl-6prom3::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11236	nsEx5829 [egl-6prom4::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11202	nsEx5591 [gly-18prom1::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11203	nsEx5592[gly-18prom2::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11204	nsEx5593 [gly-18prom3::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11205	nsEx5594 [gly-18prom4::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11206	nsEx5595 [gly-18prom5::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11208	nsEx5597 [gly-18prom6::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11209	nsEx5598 [gly-18prom7::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11211	nsEx5809 [gly-18prom8::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11212	nsEx5810 [gly-18prom9::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III ; otIs356 [rab-3prom1::NLS-TagRFP] V		This study
OS11168	nsEx5573 [hlh-1prom1::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study

Table 1. (continued)

Strain name	Genotype	Comment	Reference
OS11171	nsEx5576 [hlh-1prom2::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11172	nsEx5577 [hlh-1prom3 cloned in pSM-GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11959	nsEx6055 [inx-18prom1::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS11960	nsEx6056 [inx-18prom2::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123)		This study
OS11962	nsEx6058 [inx-18prom3::GFP, pha-1(+)] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS12795	nxEx6276 [inx-18prom4::gfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS12797	nxEx6278 [inx-18prom5::qfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS12798	nxEx6279 [inx-18prom6::gfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS12800	nxEx6281 [inx-18prom7::gfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS12801	nxEx6282 [inx-18prom8::qfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS12802	nxEx6283 [inx-18prom9::qfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS12819	nsEx6288 [inx-18prom10::afp, pha-1+] line 1; pha-1(e2123) III		This study
OS12821	nsEx6290 [inx-18prom11::afp, pha-1+] line 1; pha-1(e2123) III		This study
O\$14565	nsEx7189 [unc-30prom1::afp + pha-1 + 1 line 1: pha-1(e2123) III		This study
O\$14566	nsEx7190 [unc-30prom2::afp + pha-1 +] line 1: pha-1(e2123) [I]		This study
O\$14567	nsEx7191 [unc-30prom3::afp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1(e2123) III		This study
0\$14568	rsFx7192 [unc-30prom4::afp + pha-1 + 1 line 1: pha-1(e2123) [I]		This study
0\$14569	$r_{1} = r_{1} = r_{1$		
0514570	nsEx7192 [unc-30prom6::afp + pha 1 +] line 1; pha 1(e2123) III		
0514570	$r_{1} = r_{1} = r_{1$		This study
0512206	nscx/195 [unc-soprom/gp + pna-i +] line 1; pha-i(22123) lii		
0514572	$m_{\text{Exc}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n}$		
0514572	nscx/196 [unc-soprom5g]p + pha-i + j line i, pha-i(22123) iii		
0514004	nscx/19/ [unc-sopromiogjp + pin-1 +] line 1, pin-1(e2123) III		
0514094	nsEx68/1 [F4lG4.8prom1::gfp + pnd-1 +] line 1; pnd-1 (e2l23) III		This study
0514173	nstx6921 [F4IG4.8prom2::gtp + pna-1 +] line 1; pna-1 (e2123) lil; nsis/58 [nep-2prom7::tagr] V		
0514164	nsEx6914 [twk-4promI::gtp + pnd-1 +] line 1; pnd-1 (e2123) lii		This study
0514158	nstx6908 [twk-4prom2::gfp + pha-1 +] line l; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
0514098	nsEx68/5 [twk-4proms::gfp + pna-1 +] line I; pna-1 (e2/23) III		This study
0514157	nstx6907 [cocr-lprom]::grp + pha-i + j line i; pha-i (e2123) III		
0514273	nstx6966 [ocr-Iprom2::gfp + pna-1 +] line 1; pna-1 (e2123) III		This study
0514097	nsEx68/4 [ocr-lprom3::gtp + pna-1 +] line 1; pna-1 (e2123) III		
0514099	nsEx68/6 [twk-9promI::gtp +pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
0514172	nsEx6920 [mig-6promi::gfp + pna-1 +] line 1; pna-1 (e2123) III		
OS14156	nsEx6906 [mig-6prom2::gtp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS14174	nsEx6922 [mig-6prom3::gtp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS14161	nsEx6911 [mig-6prom4::gfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS14175	nsEx6923 [mig-6prom5::gfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS14198	nsEx6941 [mig-17prom1::gfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS14188	nsEx6931 [mig-17prom2::gfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS14176	nsEx6924 [let-2prom1::gfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS14159	nsEx6909 [let-2prom2::gfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS14160	nsEx6910 [let-2prom3::gfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS14187	nsEx6930 [let-2prom4::gfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS14197	nsEx6940 [let-2prom5::gfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS14276	nsEx6969 [acc-2prom1::gfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS14268	nsEx6961 [oac-7prom1::gfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14307	nsEx6973 [kvs-5prom1::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14203	nsEx6942 [kvs-5prom2::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14272	nsEx6965 [lbp-1prom1::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14279	nsEx6972 [lbp-1prom2::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14308	nsEx6974 [adt-3prom1::rfp + pha-1+] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14343	nsEx7015 [R03E9.2prom1::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14455	nsEx7118 [R03E9.2prom2::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14345	nsEx7017 [R03E9.2prom3::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study

Table 1. (continued)

Strain name	Genotype	Comment	Reference
OS14346	nsEx7018 [R03E9.2prom4::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14398	nsEx7067 [F49B2.6prom1::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14399	nsEx7068 [F49B2.6prom2::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14395	nsEx7064 [nta-1prom1::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14575	nsEx7199 [nta-1prom2::rfp + pha-1+] line 1; pha-1 (e2123)III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14400	nsEx7069 [T14B4.9prom1::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14458	nsEx7121 [T14B4.9prom2::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14483	nsEx7138 [T14B4.9prom3::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14459	nsEx7122 [tbc-12prom1::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14574	nsEx7198 [tbc-12prom2::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III ; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14482	nsEx7137 [tbc-12prom3::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14484	nsEx7139 [tbc-12prom4::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14454	nsEx7117 [pgp-4prom1::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14457	nsEx7120 [pgp-4prom2::rfp + pha-1+] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14205	nsEx6944 [F19B10.3prom1::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14576	nsEx7200 [haf-7prom1:::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123); nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS14456	nsEx7119 [haf-7prom 2:::rfp + pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III; nsIs758 [nep-2prom7::nls::vfp] V		This study
O\$13444	nsEx6539 [alb-26prom1::afp + pha-1 +] line 1: pha-1(e2123)		This study
O\$13445	nsEx6540 [alb-26prom1::afp + pha-1 +] line 2: pha-1(e2123) III		This study
OS12140	nsEx6132 [WRM0641dF02 alv-18::sl2::snl::vfp::h2b, pha-1 +] line 1: pha-1 (e2123) []]		This study
OS12142	nsEx6134 [WRM065dE01 apx-8::sl2::snl::vfp::h2b, pha-1 +] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
OS12145	nsEx6137[F28H7.2prom1::afp. pha-1 + 1 line 1: pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
0512147	nsEvel39 [T28A11 3prom1:ofp_pha++] line 1; pha-1 (e2123) III		This study
0512149	nsEvel 1 [7.2317 2prom1:ofn_nha-1 +] line 1: nha-1 (e2123) III		
0512147	nsEx6143 [E35D2 3nom1::sfp, pha + +] line 1; pha + (2223) III		
0512789	nsEx6078 [E13D1210nnm1::GEP_nha.1(+)] line 1: nha.1 (a2123) III: ncle700 V		
0512707	nsEx6042 [mnn-1nram2::GED_nha-1(+)] ling 1: nha-1 (a2122) III		This study
0511210	nsEx0005 [IIII]P-1pioInsGF, pid=1(+)] line 1; pid=1 (e2123) III		This study
0511219	$reFv(052)$ [ucc Starsm2::GED_phc1(+)] line 1; phc1(42123)		This study
0512270	n5Ex6002 [unc-34pronsGrr, pnu-1(+)] nnu-1 (2223)		Taggod formid generated by (Saroy
0312370			et al, 2006). Transgenic line generated in this study.
OS14023	nsEx6821 [WRM069bF08 (let-381 fosmid) + myo-3p::mCherry] line 1; let-381(gk302) I; nsIs746 [nep-2prom7::gfp]	V	This study
OS14024	nsEx6822 [WRM069bF08 (let-381 fosmid) + myo-3p::mCherry] line 2; let-381(gk302) l; nsls746 [nep-2prom7::gfp]	V	This study
OS12240	nsEx6151 [WRM0639aA08 (unc-30 fosmid) + unc-122prom::gfp)] line 1; unc-30 (e191) IV; nsIs700 [nep-2prom7::tagr[p] V		This study
OS12241	nsEx6152 [WRM0639aA08 (unc-30 fosmid) + unc-122prom::gfp)] line 2; unc-30 (e191) IV; nsls700 [nep-2prom7::tagr[p] V		This study
OS12242	nsEx6153 [WRM0639aA08 (unc-30 fosmid) + unc-122prom::gfp)] line 3; unc-30 (e191) IV; nsls700 [nep-2prom7::tagr[p] V;		This study
OS12246	nsEx6157 [WRM0617aE02 (unc-30 fosmid) + unc-122prom::rfp] line 1; unc-30 (e191) IV; nsIs746 [nep-2prom7::gfp]	V	This study
OS12247	nsEx6158 [WRM0617aE02 (unc-30 fosmid) + unc-122prom::rfp] line 2; unc-30 (e191) IV; nsIs746 [nep-2prom7::gfp]	V	This study
OS12244	nsEx6155 [unc-30 whole locus PCR + unc-122prom::gfp] line 1; unc-30 (e191) IV; nsIs700 [nep-2prom7::tagrfp] V		This study
OS13665	nsEx6668 [nep-2p7::let-381 sas RNAi + myo-3::mCherry] line 1; let-381(ns995) I; eri-1(mg366) IV		This study
OS13666	nsEx6669 [nep-2p7::let-381 sas RNAi + myo-3::mCherry] line 2; let-381(ns995) l; eri-1(mg366) IV		This study
OS13667	nsEx6670 [nep-2p7::let-381 sas RNAi + myo-3::mCherry] line 3; let-381(ns995) I; eri-1(mg366) IV		This study
OS13668	nsEx6671 [nep-2p7 (sas RNAi control) + myo-3::mCherry] line 1; let-381(ns995) I; eri-1(mg366) IV		This study
OS13820	nsEx6667 [nep-2p7 (sas RNAi control) + myo-3::mCherry] line 2 ; nep-2(syb4689) II; eri-1(mg366) IV		This study
OS13672	nsEx6675 [nep-2p7 (sas RNAi control) + myo-3::mCherry] line 3; ccls4443 [arg-1prom::gfp + dpy-20(+)] eri-1(mg366) IV		This study
OS13435	nsEx6530 [hsp-16,2prom::let-381 cDNA, hsp-16,2prom::unc-30 cDNA, pha-1+] line 1; pha-1(e2123) III; nsls700 [nep-2prom7::tagr[p] V		This study
OS13436	nsEx6531 [hsp-16,2prom::let-381 cDNA, hsp-16,2prom::unc-30 cDNA, pha-1+] line 2; pha-1(e2123) III; nsls700 [nep-2prom7::tagr[p] V		This study
OS13438	nsEx6533 [hsp-16,2prom::let-381 cDNA, pha-1+] line 1; pha-1(e2123) III; nsIs700 [nep-2prom7::tagr[p] V		This study
OS13439	nsEx6534 [hsp-16,2prom::let-381 cDNA, pha-1+] line 2; pha-1(e2123) III; nsls700 [nep-2prom7::tagrfp] V		This study
OS13440	nsEx6535 [hsp-16,2prom::unc-30 cDNA, pha-1+] line 1; pha-1(e2123) III; nsIs700 [nep-2prom7::tagrfp] V		This study

Table 1. (continued)

Strain name	Genotype	Comment	Reference
OS13441	nsEx6536 [hsp-16,2prom::unc-30 cDNA, pha-1+] line 2; pha-1(e2123) III; nsIs700 [nep-2prom7::tagrfp] V		This study
OS11484	nsls700 [nep-2prom7::tagrfp] V		This study
OS11703	nsls746 [nep-2prom7::gfp] V		This study
OS11715	nsls758 [nep-2prom7::nls::yfp] V		This study
OS12099	nsls831 [pll-1prom1::tagrfp] X		This study
OS12103	nsls835 [hot-5prom2::gfp] X		This study
OS12767	nsls879 [nep-2p7::TIR1::mCardinal + unc-122prom::mCherry]		This study
OS12156	nsls854 [nep-2prom7::egl-1, myo-3mCherry]; nsls831 [pll-1prom1::tagrfp] X		This study
OS12700	unc-30(ns959[unc-30::gfp:::degron(AID)]) IV		This study
OS13288	let-381(ns995[let-381::gfp::aid])		This study
PHX4689	nep-2(syb4689[gfp::h2b::sl2::nep-2])		This study
PHX3025	hlh-1(syb3025[hlh-1::gfp::aid])		This study
PHX2879	inx-18(syb2879[inx-18::aid::emgfp]) IV		This study
PHX5792	pll-1(syb5792[pll-1::sl2::gfp::h2b])		This study
PHX5704	gbb-1(syb5704[gbb-1::sl2::gfp::h2b]) X		This study
PHX5759	gbb-2(syb5759[gbb-2::sl2::gfp::h2b]) IV		This study
OS13744	nep-2(ns1012[*syb4689])	ns1012 = let-381 motif mutation	This study
OS14214	pll-1(ns1040[*syb5792]) III	ns1040 = let-381 motif mutation	This study
OS13748	hlh-1(ns1016[*syb3025]) 11	ns1016 = 1 st let-381 motif mutation	This study
OS13842	hlh-1(ns1027[*syb3025])	$ns1027 = 2^{nd}$ let-381 motif mutation	This study
OS13747	hlh-1(ns1015[*syb3025])	$ns1015 = 1^{st} + 2^{nd} motif mutation$	This study
OS13742	inx-18(ns1010[*syb2879])	ns1010 = let-381 motif mutation	This study
OS13838	let-381(ns1026[*ns995]) I	ns1026 = let-381 motif deletion	This study
OS13308	unc-30(ns1000[*ns959]) IV	$ns1000 = 1^{st}$ let-381 motif mutation	This study
OS13307	unc-30(ns999[*ns959]) IV	$ns999 = 1^{st} + 2^{nd}$ let-381 motifs mutation	This study
OS13309	unc-30(ns1001[*ns959]) IV	$ns1001 = 3^{rd}$ let-381 motif mutation	This study
OS13306	unc-30(ns998[*ns959])	$ns998 = three \ let-381 \ motifs$ deletion	This study

sequenced using an Illumina NextSeq 500 sequencer using 75 base pair single read protocols.

RNA-seq quality assessment and differential expression analysis

Fastq files were generated with CASAVA v1.8.2 (Illumina), and examined using the FASTQC (http://www.bioinformatics. babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) application for sequence quality. Reads were aligned to customized build genome that combine C. elegans WS262 genome release (https://downloads.wormbase.org/ releases/WS262/species/c_elegans/PRJNA13758/) and the "nep-2prom7::nls::::yfp::unc-54 3'UTR" transgene using the STAR v2.3 aligner with parameters (Dobin et al, 2012) (--out- FilterMultimapNmax 10 --outFilterMultimapScoreRange 1). Mapping rate was >72% with >62 million uniquely mapped reads. The alignment results were evaluated through RNA-SeQC v1.17 to make sure all samples had a consistent alignment rate and no obvious 5' or 3' bias (DeLuca et al, 2012). Aligned reads were summarized through featureCounts (Liao et al, 2013) with gene models from Ensemble (Caenorhabditis_elegans. WBcel235.77.gtf) at gene level unstranded: specifically, the uniquely mapped reads (NH "tag" in bam file) that overlapped with an exon (feature) by at least 1 bp on either strand were counted, and then the counts of all exons annotated to an Ensemble gene (meta-features) were summed into a single number. rRNA genes, mitochondrial genes, and genes with length <40 bp were excluded from downstream analysis.

The experiment was done with four independent replicates. DESeq2 was applied to normalize count matrix and to perform differential gene expression analysis, comparing RNA counts derived from the GLR glia cells (YFP-positive) to RNA counts that were derived from all other *C. elegans* cells, using the negative binomial distribution (Love et al, 2014). Raw and processed RNA-seq data have been deposited at Gene Expression Omnibus with accession number GEO: GSE234746.

Microscopy

Animals were anesthetized using 100 mM NaN₃ (sodium azide) and mounted on 5% agarose pads on glass slides. Z-stack images (each ~7 μ m thick) were acquired using either a Zeiss confocal microscope LSM990 (images in Figs. 7C and 8D; Appendix Fig. S5D,E) or a Zeiss compound microscope Axio Imager M2 (all other images) using MicroManager software (version 1.4.22) (Edelstein et al, 2010). ImageJ (Schneider et al, 2012) was used to

produce maximum projections of z-stack images (2-30 slices) presented in the Figures. Figures were prepared by using Adobe Illustrator.

Quantification and statistical analysis

All microscopy fluorescence quantifications were done in ImageJ (Schneider et al, 2012). Mutant and control animals were imaged during the same imaging session with all acquisition parameters maintained constant between the two groups. The fluorescence intensity of gene expression in GLR glia and the HMC cell (Figs. 4E, F, 5A, B, D, and 7G) was measured in the plane with the strongest signal within the z-stack in a region drawn around the GLR glia nucleus (for nuclear reporters) or cell body (for cytoplasmic reporters). A single circular region in an adjacent area was used to measure background intensity for each animal; this value was then subtracted from the fluorescence intensity of reporter expression for each GLR glia/HMC cell. Quantification of the length of the GLR glia anterior process (Fig. 7E) was performed in maximum intensity projections. A line was drawn along the anterior process for each GLR glia cell and its length was measured and normalized to the length of the pharynx for each animal. Quantification of number of puncta for *inx-18::gfp* CRISPR knockin reporter (Figs. 5C and 6E), quantification of number or percentage of GLR glia or HMC with reporter expression (Figs. 2C,D, 3A-G, 6A-E, 7D, 8B, D, EV2C-G, EV3A-G,J-K, and EV4C,E,F; Appendix Fig. S2B,C), quantification of animals with anteriorly displaced nerve ring (Fig. 2E) and quantification of number of head muscle cells (Fig. 2F; Appendix Figs. S2D and S3A) were performed by manual counting using ImageJ.

Prism (GraphPad) was used for statistical analysis as described in Figure legends. Unpaired, two-sided Students' t test was used to determine the statistical significance between the two groups. n.s. denotes not statistically significant (P value > 0.05).

Data availability

Raw and processed RNA-seq data have been deposited at Gene Expression Omnibus with accession number GEO: GSE234746. This paper does not report any original code. All newly generated critical strains will be available at the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC). Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the corresponding author.

Expanded view data, supplementary information, appendices are available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s44318-024-00049-w.

Peer review information

A peer review file is available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s44318-024-00049-w

References

Allen NJ, Lyons DA (2018) Glia as architects of central nervous system formation and function. Science 362:181-185 Altun ZF, Hall DH (2016) Handbook of C. elegans anatomy. WormAtlas

- Altun-Gultekin Z, Andachi Y, Tsalik EL, Pilgrim D, Kohara Y, Hobert O (2001) A regulatory cascade of three homeobox genes, ceh-10, ttx-3 and ceh-23, controls cell fate specification of a defined interneuron class in *C. elegans*. Development 128:1951-1969
- Amin NM, Shi H, Liu J (2010) The FoxF/FoxC factor LET-381 directly regulates both cell fate specification and cell differentiation in *C. elegans* mesoderm development. Development 137:1451-1460
- Bacaj T, Tevlin M, Lu Y, Shaham S (2008) Glia are essential for sensory organ function in *C. elegans*. Science 322:744-747
- Bailey TL, Elkan C (1994) Fitting a mixture model by expectation maximization to discover motifs in biopolymers. Proc Int Conf Intell Syst Mol Biol 2:28–36
- Batiuk MY, Martirosyan A, Wahis J, de Vin F, Marneffe C, Kusserow C, Koeppen J, Viana JF, Oliveira JF, Voet T et al (2020) Identification of region-specific astrocyte subtypes at single cell resolution. Nat Commun 11:1220
- Bayer EA, Stecky RC, Neal L, Katsamba PS, Ahlsen G, Balaji V, Hoppe T, Shapiro L, Oren-Suissa M, Hobert O (2020) Ubiquitin-dependent regulation of a conserved DMRT protein controls sexually dimorphic synaptic connectivity and behavior. eLife 9:e59614
- Brenner S (1974) The genetics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 77:71-94
- Bundgaard M, Abbott NJ (2008) All vertebrates started out with a glial bloodbrain barrier 4-500 million years ago. Glia 56:699-708
- Canals I, Ginisty A, Quist E, Timmerman R, Fritze J, Miskinyte G, Monni E, Hansen MG, Hidalgo I, Bryder D et al (2018) Rapid and efficient induction of functional astrocytes from human pluripotent stem cells. Nat Methods 15:693-696
- C. elegans Deletion Mutant Consortium (2012) large-scale screening for targeted knockouts in the Caenorhabditis elegans genome. G3 2:1415–1425
- Choi U, Hu M, Zhang Q, Sieburth D (2023) The head mesodermal cell couples FMRFamide neuropeptide signaling with rhythmic muscle contraction in *C. elegans.* Nat Commun 14:4218
- Cinar H, Keles S, Jin Y (2005) Expression profiling of GABAergic motor neurons in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Curr Biol 15:340–346
- DeLuca DS, Levin JZ, Sivachenko A, Fennell T, Nazaire MD, Williams C, Reich M, Winckler W, Getz G (2012) RNA-SeQC: RNA-seq metrics for quality control and process optimization. Bioinformatics 28:1530–1532
- Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR (2012) STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29:15-21
- Dokshin GA, Ghanta KS, Piscopo KM, Mello CC (2018) Robust genome editing with short single-stranded and long, partially single-stranded DNA donors in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 210:781–787
- Eastman C, Horvitz HR, Jin Y (1999) Coordinated transcriptional regulation of the unc-25 glutamic acid decarboxylase and the unc-47 GABA vesicular transporter by the *Caenorhabditis elegans* UNC-30 homeodomain protein. J Neurosci 19:6225-6234
- Edelstein A, Amodaj N, Hoover K, Vale R, Stuurman N (2010) Computer control of microscopes using µManager. Curr Protoc Mol Biol Chapter 14:Unit14.20 https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142727.mb1420s92
- Esposito G, Di Schiavi E, Bergamasco C, Bazzicalupo P (2007) Efficient and cell specific knock-down of gene function in targeted *C. elegans neurons*. Gene 395:170-176
- Feng W, Li Y, Dao P, Aburas J, Islam P, Elbaz B, Kolarzyk A, Brown AE, Kratsios P (2020) A terminal selector prevents a Hox transcriptional switch to safeguard motor neuron identity throughout life. eLife 9:e50065
- Forrester WC, Dell M, Perens E, Garriga G (1999) A *C. elegans* Ror receptor tyrosine kinase regulates cell motility and asymmetric cell division. Nature 400:881-885

- Gao S, Guan SA, Fouad AD, Meng J, Kawano T, Huang Y-C, Li Y, Alcaire S, Hung
 W, Lu Y et al (2018) Excitatory motor neurons are local oscillators for
 backward locomotion. eLife 7:e29915
- Gendrel M, Atlas EG, Hobert O (2016) A cellular and regulatory map of the GABAergic nervous system of *C. elegans.* eLife 5:e17686
- Ginhoux F, Greter M, Leboeuf M, Nandi S, See P, Gokhan S, Mehler MF, Conway SJ, Ng LG, Stanley ER et al (2010) Fate mapping analysis reveals that adult microglia derive from primitive macrophages. Science 330:841-845
- Ginhoux F, Lim S, Hoeffel G, Low D, Huber T (2013) Origin and differentiation of microglia. Front Cell Neurosci 7:45
- Ginhoux F, Prinz M (2015) Origin of microglia: current concepts and past controversies. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 7:a020537
- Goudeau J, Sharp CS, Paw J, Savy L, Leonetti MD, York AG, Updike DL, Kenyon C, Ingaramo M (2021) Split-wrmScarlet and split-sfGFP: tools for faster, easier fluorescent labeling of endogenous proteins in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 217:iyab014
- Hobert O (2002) PCR fusion-based approach to create reporter gene constructs for expression analysis in transgenic *C. elegans.* Biotechniques 32:728–730
- Hobert O (2011) Regulation of terminal differentiation programs in the nervous system. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 27:681-696
- Hobert O, Kratsios P (2019) Neuronal identity control by terminal selectors in worms, flies, and chordates. Curr Opin Neurobiol 56:97-105
- Hochstim C, Deneen B, Lukaszewicz A, Zhou Q, Anderson DJ (2008) Identification of positionally distinct astrocyte subtypes whose identities are specified by a homeodomain code. Cell 133:510-522
- Howell AM, Gilmour SG, Mancebo RA, Rose AM (1987) Genetic analysis of a large autosomal region in *Caenorhabditis elegans* by the use of a free duplication. Genet Res 49:207-213
- Hupe M, Li MX, Kneitz S, Davydova D, Yokota C, Kele J, Hot B, Stenman JM, Gessler M (2017) Gene expression profiles of brain endothelial cells during embryonic development at bulk and single-cell levels. Sci Signal 10:eaag2476
- Jin Y, Hoskins R, Horvitz HR (1994) Control of type-D GABAergic neuron differentiation by *C. elegans* UNC-30 homeodomain protein. Nature 372:780-783
- Kage-Nakadai E, Imae R, Yoshina S, Mitani S (2014) Methods for single/low-copy integration by ultraviolet and trimethylpsoralen treatment in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Methods 68:397-402
- Kalinichenko VV, Gusarova GA, Shin B, Costa RH (2003) The forkhead box F1 transcription factor is expressed in brain and head mesenchyme during mouse embryonic development. Gene Expr Patterns 3:153–158
- Kastriti ME, Adameyko I (2017) Specification, plasticity and evolutionary origin of peripheral glial cells. Curr Opin Neurobiol 47:196-202
- Katz M, Corson F, Iwanir S, Biron D, Shaham S (2018) Glia modulate a neuronal circuit for locomotion suppression during sleep in *C. elegans*. Cell Rep 22:2575-2583
- Katz M, Corson F, Keil W, Singhal A, Bae A, Lu Y, Liang Y, Shaham S (2019) Glutamate spillover in *C. elegans* triggers repetitive behavior through presynaptic activation of MGL-2/mGluR5. Nat Commun 10:1882
- Kennedy S, Wang D, Ruvkun G (2004) A conserved siRNA-degrading RNase negatively regulates RNA interference in *C. elegans*. Nature 427:645-649
- Kennerdell JR, Fetter RD, Bargmann CI (2009) Wnt-Ror signaling to SIA and SIB neurons directs anterior axon guidance and nerve ring placement in *C. elegans*. Development 136:3801–3810
- Kostas SA, Fire A (2002) The T-box factor MLS-1 acts as a molecular switch during specification of nonstriated muscle in *C. elegans*. Genes Dev 16:257-269
- Krause M, Harrison SW, Xu S-Q, Chen L, Fire A (1994) Elements regulating celland stage-specific expression of the *C. elegans* MyoD family homolog hlh-1. Dev Biol 166:133-148

- Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W (2013) featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics 30:923-930
- Liu X, Long F, Peng H, Aerni SJ, Jiang M, Sánchez-Blanco A, Murray JI, Preston E, Mericle B, Batzoglou S et al (2009) Analysis of cell fate from single-cell gene expression profiles in *C. elegans*. Cell 139:623–633
- Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15:550
- Mahlapuu M, Ormestad M, Enerback S, Carlsson P (2001) The forkhead transcription factor Foxf1 is required for differentiation of extra-embryonic and lateral plate mesoderm. Development 128:155–166
- Martinez MAQ, Kinney BA, Medwig-Kinney TN, Ashley G, Ragle JM, Johnson L, Aguilera J, Hammell CM, Ward JD, Matus DQ (2020) Rapid degradation of *Caenorhabditis elegans* proteins at single-cell resolution with a synthetic auxin. G3 10:267-280
- McKay SJ, Johnsen R, Khattra J, Asano J, Baillie DL, Chan S, Dube N, Fang L, Goszczynski B, Ha E et al (2003) Gene expression profiling of cells, tissues, and developmental stages of the nematode *C. elegans*. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 68:159–169
- Mi H, Muruganujan A, Huang X, Ebert D, Mills C, Guo X, Thomas PD (2019) Protocol update for large-scale genome and gene function analysis with the PANTHER classification system (v.14.0). Nat Protoc 14:703-721
- Mizeracka K, Rogers JM, Rumley JD, Shaham S, Bulyk ML, Murray JI, Heiman MG (2021) Lineage-specific control of convergent differentiation by a Forkhead repressor. Development 148:dev199493
- Munji RN, Soung AL, Weiner GA, Sohet F, Semple BD, Trivedi A, Gimlin K, Kotoda M, Korai M, Aydin S et al (2019) Profiling the mouse brain endothelial transcriptome in health and disease models reveals a core blood-brain barrier dysfunction module. Nat Neurosci 22:1892–1902
- Murray JI, Boyle TJ, Preston E, Vafeados D, Mericle B, Weisdepp P, Zhao Z, Bao Z, Boeck M, Waterston RH (2012) Multidimensional regulation of gene expression in the *C. elegans* embryo. Genome Res 22:1282-1294
- Narasimhan K, Lambert SA, Yang AW, Riddell J, Mnaimneh S, Zheng H, Albu M, Najafabadi HS, Reece-Hoyes JS, Fuxman Bass JI et al (2015) Mapping and analysis of *Caenorhabditis elegans* transcription factor sequence specificities. eLife 4:e06967
- Nass R, Hall DH, Miller 3rd DM, Blakely RD (2002) Neurotoxin-induced degeneration of dopamine neurons in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99:3264-3269
- Ormestad M, Astorga J, Landgren H, Wang T, Johansson BR, Miura N, Carlsson P (2006) Foxf1 and Foxf2 control murine gut development by limiting mesenchymal Wnt signaling and promoting extracellular matrix production. Development 133:833-843
- Peterson RS, Lim L, Ye H, Zhou H, Overdier DG, Costa RH (1997) The winged helix transcriptional activator HFH-8 is expressed in the mesoderm of the primitive streak stage of mouse embryos and its cellular derivatives. Mech Dev 69:53-69
- Remesal L, Roger-Baynat I, Chirivella L, Maicas M, Brocal-Ruiz R, Perez-Villalba A, Cucarella C, Casado M, Flames N (2020) PBX1 acts as terminal selector for olfactory bulb dopaminergic neurons. Development 147:dev186841
- Reyahi A, Nik AM, Ghiami M, Gritli-Linde A, Ponten F, Johansson BR, Carlsson P (2015) Foxf2 is required for brain pericyte differentiation and development and maintenance of the blood-brain barrier. Dev Cell 34:19-32
- Ringstad N, Abe N, Horvitz HR (2009) Ligand-gated chloride channels are receptors for biogenic amines in *C. elegans*. Science 325:96-100

Ringstad N, Horvitz HR (2008) FMRFamide neuropeptides and acetylcholine synergistically inhibit egg-laying by *C. elegans*. Nat Neurosci 11:1168–1176

Rowitch DH, Kriegstein AR (2010) Developmental genetics of vertebrate glial-cell specification. Nature 468:214-222

- Sarov M, Schneider S, Pozniakovski A, Roguev A, Ernst S, Zhang Y, Hyman AA, Stewart AF (2006) A recombineering pipeline for functional genomics applied to *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nat Methods 3:839–844
- Saunders A, Macosko EZ, Wysoker A, Goldman M, Krienen FM, de Rivera H, Bien E, Baum M, Bortolin L, Wang S et al (2018) Molecular diversity and specializations among the cells of the adult mouse brain. Cell 174:1015-1030.e1016
- Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW (2012) NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat Methods 9:671–675
- Scimone ML, Wurtzel O, Malecek K, Fincher CT, Oderberg IM, Kravarik KM, Reddien PW (2018) foxF-1 controls specification of non-body wall muscle and phagocytic cells in planarians. Curr Biol 28:3787-3801.e3786
- Shah PK, Santella A, Jacobo A, Siletti K, Hudspeth AJ, Bao Z (2017) An in toto approach to dissecting cellular interactions in complex tissues. Dev Cell 43:530–540.e534
- Shaham S (2015a) Glial development and function in the nervous system of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 7:a020578
- Shaham S (2015b) Glial development and function in the nervous system of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Cold Spring Harb Perspect 8:4
- Stefanakis N, Carrera I, Hobert O (2015) Regulatory logic of pan-neuronal gene expression in *C. elegans*. Neuron 87:733-750
- Sulston JE, Schierenberg E, White JG, Thomson JN (1983) The embryonic cell lineage of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev Biol 100:64-119
- Thomas PD, Ebert D, Muruganujan A, Mushayahama T, Albou LP, Mi H (2022) PANTHER: making genome-scale phylogenetics accessible to all. Protein Sci 31:8-22
- Tseng HT, Shah R, Jamrich M (2004) Function and regulation of FoxF1 during Xenopus gut development. Development 131:3637-3647
- Tursun B, Cochella L, Carrera I, Hobert O (2009) A toolkit and robust pipeline for the generation of fosmid-based reporter genes in *C. elegans.* PLoS ONE 4:e4625
- Vanlandewijck M, He L, Mäe MA, Andrae J, Ando K, Del Gaudio F, Nahar K, Lebouvier T, Laviña B, Gouveia L et al (2018) A molecular atlas of cell types and zonation in the brain vasculature. Nature 554:475-480
- Wallace Sean W, Singhvi A, Liang Y, Lu Y, Shaham S (2016) PROS-1/Prospero is a major regulator of the glia-specific secretome controlling sensory-neuron shape and function in *C. elegans*. Cell Rep 15:550–562
- Warren CE, Krizus A, Dennis JW (2001) Complementary expression patterns of six nonessential *Caenorhabditis elegans* core 2/I N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase homologues. Glycobiology 11:979–988
- Wegner M (2020) Chapter 34—Specification of oligodendrocytes. In: Rubenstein J, Rakic P, Chen B, Kwan KY (eds) Patterning and cell type specification in the developing CNS and PNS, 2nd edn. Academic Press, pp 847–866
- White JG, Southgate E, Thomson JN, Brenner S (1986) The structure of the nervous system of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 314:1-340
- Yamada K, Hirotsu T, Matsuki M, Butcher RA, Tomioka M, Ishihara T, Clardy J, Kunitomo H, lino Y (2010) Olfactory plasticity is regulated by pheromonal signaling in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Science 329:1647–1650
- Yang Y, Jackson R (2019) Astrocyte identity: evolutionary perspectives on astrocyte functions and heterogeneity. Curr Opin Neurobiol 56:40-46
- Yemini E, Lin A, Nejatbakhsh A, Varol E, Sun R, Mena GE, Samuel ADT, Paninski L, Venkatachalam V, Hobert O (2021) NeuroPAL: a multicolor atlas for wholebrain neuronal identification in *C. elegans*. Cell 184:272-288.e211
- Zaffran S, Kuchler A, Lee HH, Frasch M (2001) biniou (FoxF), a central component in a regulatory network controlling visceral mesoderm

development and midgut morphogenesis in Drosophila. Genes Dev 15:2900-2915

- Zallen JA, Kirch SA, Bargmann CI (1999) Genes required for axon pathfinding and extension in the *C. elegans* nerve ring. Development 126:3679-3692
- Zhang A, Noma K, Yan D (2020) Regulation of gliogenesis by lin-32/Atoh1 in *Caenorhabditis elegans.* G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics 10:3271-3278
- Zhang L, Ward JD, Cheng Z, Dernburg AF (2015) The auxin-inducible degradation (AID) system enables versatile conditional protein depletion in *C. elegans*. Development 142:4374-4384
- Zhang Y, Chen K, Sloan SA, Bennett ML, Scholze AR, O'Keeffe S, Phatnani HP, Guarnieri P, Caneda C, Ruderisch N et al (2014) An RNA-sequencing transcriptome and splicing database of glia, neurons, and vascular cells of the cerebral cortex. J Neurosci 34:11929-11947

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Oliver Hobert and Yuichi Lino for strains; The Rockefeller University Flow Cytometry and Genomics Resource Centers for technical support; Menachem Katz and members of the Shaham lab for experimental advice, comments, and discussion. Some strains were provided by the CGC, which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 ODD10440). This work was supported in part by funds from a Leon Levy Foundation Fellowship to NS and by National Institutes of Health grant R35NS105094 to SS.

Author contributions

Nikolaos Stefanakis: Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Funding acquisition; Investigation; Visualization; Methodology; Writing—original draft; Writing review and editing. Jessica Jiang: Investigation. Yupu Liang: Software; Formal analysis. Shai Shaham: Conceptualization; Funding acquisition; Writing original draft; Project administration; Writing—review and editing.

Disclosure and competing interests statement

The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/ applies to the data associated with this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data, but does not extend to the graphical or creative elements of illustrations, charts, or figures. This waiver removes legal barriers to the re-use and mining of research data. According to standard scholarly practice, it is recommended to provide appropriate citation and attribution whenever technically possible.

© The Author(s) 2024

Expanded View Figures

Figure EV1. let-381 motifs are required for endogenous GLR gene expression and let-381 autoregulation in GLR glia.

(A) *let-381* motif identified in this study. (B) Motif of the *let-381* ortholog *foxf* from (Peterson et al, 1997). (C) *let-381* motif from (Narasimhan et al, 2015). Similarities between the three motifs are apparent. (D) Locations (distances from start codons) of *let-381* motifs of genes whose expression in GLR is downregulated in *let-381* mutants (either GLR-specific *let-381* RNAi and/or the *let-381* autoregulatory allele). (E) Minimal promoter *hlh-1prom1* was one of the promoters used in MEME to identify common motifs present in GLR glia genes. The *let-381* motif identified by MEME is highlighted in dark red. A *let-381* motif with slightly altered sequence (light red) was identified manually later and is required, together with the first motif, to control *hlh-1* expression in GLR glia. (F) Schematics showing details on endogenous *gfp*-based tags, location of *let-381* motifs and their mutation for *nep-2*, *pll-1*, *hlh-1* and *inx-18* genes. Red bars represent *let-381* motifs. Distance from ATG is indicated above each motif. Nucleotide changes for each motif mutation is shown below the motifs.

gene name	location of <i>let-381</i> motif(s) in base pairs from start codon
nep-2	–1133
gly-18	-147
hlh-1	+726 and +765
inx-18	+364
hot-5	–135 and –122
pll-1	-100
lgc-55	-3867
unc-46	-921
snf-11	-840
gbb-2	-388

hlh-1p1 270bp

Figure EV2. GLR gene expression is lost in *let-381* autoregulatory mutant animals.

(A) Schematic showing the location of the *let-381* motif (red bar) in the *let-381* promoter region and region deleted in the *let-381(ns1026)* mutation. (B) Conservation of the *let-381* autoregulatory motif sequence (red box) is shown among five nematode species. Asterisks indicate conserved nucleotides. (C–G) Effect of *let-381* autoregulatory motif deletion, *let-381(ns1026)*, on expression of (C) *gly-18*, (D) *hot-5*, (E) *lgc-55*, (F) *gbb-2*, and (G) *snf-11* in GLR glia. Bar graphs show quantifications of gene expression at the L4 stage. For (C) animal images showing gene expression at L1 and L4 stages in wild-type and mutant backgrounds are shown on the left. Dashed red circles outline expression in GLR glia. Data information: Anterior is left, dorsal is up and scale bars are 10 µm for all animal images.

Figure EV3. Effect of unc-30 on GLR gene expression.

(A) Transgenic constructs containing different fosmid clones (WRM) or PCR amplicons carrying wild-type copies of UNC-30 can rescue the effect of *unc-30(e191)* on GLR gene expression and anterior process length. (B-G) Effect of *unc-30* mutation on expression of different genes in GLR glia. Expression of (E) *gly-18*, (F) *pll-1* and (G) *snf-11* is affected at a lesser extent compared to (B) *nep-2*, (C) *lgc-55* and (D) *hlh-1*. (H) Cis-regulatory dissection analysis of *unc-30*. The fifth intron (prom8) of *unc-30* is sufficient to drive expression in GLR glia. (I) Three *let-381* motifs are found in the fifth intron of *unc-30* (red boxes). Details on *let-381* motif mutation alleles are shown below the DNA sequence. (J, K) Endogenous *unc-30::gfp* expression is not affected by postembryonic *let-381* knockdown either (J) by GLR-specific RNAi or (K) in the GLR-specific *let-381* autoregulatory motif deletion allele *let-381(ns1026)*. Data information: Anterior is left, dorsal is up and scale bars are 10 µm for all animal images.

Figure EV4. unc-30 represses HMC gene expression in GLR glia.

(A) Fluorescence images showing expression of *glb-26prom::gfp* in wild type and *unc-30(e191)* mutants. (B) Fluorescence images showing expression of *dmd-4prom::mCherry* in wild type and *unc-30(e191)* mutants. Arrows point to ectopic expression in *unc-30(e191)* mutants. (C) Quantification of ectopic expression of the two HMC reporters shown in (A) and (B) in *unc-30* mutant backgrounds. (D) Cells ectopically expressing (white arrow) the HMC reporter *dmd-4prom::mCherry* (magenta) always co-express *let-381::gfp* (green). (E) Expression of *arg-1prom::gfp* is lost in HMC in *dmd-4(ot933)* mutants. (F) Total number of GLR glia cells expressing either the GLR glia-specific *nep-2prom7::rfp* or the HMC-specific *arg-1prom::gfp* in *unc-30(e191)* mutants. Data information: unpaired t test used for statistical analysis in (C). Anterior is left, dorsal is up and scale bars are 10 µm for all animal images.

Figure EV5. Locomotion defects of GLR-ablated animals could partially be due to anteriorly displaced nerve ring.

(A) In wild-type animals (top row), axons of the nerve ring (dashed red box) are located between the two pharyngeal bulbs. In GLR-ablated animals (bottom row) the nerve ring is anteriorly displaced, located on top of the anterior pharynx bulb. As evidenced in the images on the right, not only the axonal projections, but also neuronal cell bodies (panneuronal nuclear gfp) are anteriorly displaced. Panneuronal gfp = *unc-119prom::gfp*, panneuronal nuclear gfp = *rab-3prom1::nls::yfp*. (B) *cwn-2(ok895)*, *cam-1(gm122)*, *sax-3(ky123)* mutants with anteriorly displaced nerve rings exhibit locomotion defects to the same direction, although of different magnitude as the GLR glia-ablated animals. (C) Auxin (K-NAA) dependent LET-381::AID knockdown results in similar defects in the same locomotion parameters as the *let-381(ns1026)* autoregulatory mutation. Genotypes are: wild-type N2 (black), *let-381(ns995)* control (gray), LET-381::AID knockdown [*let-381(ns995);nsls879 (nep-2prom7::TIR1*)] exposed to K-NAA auxin (dark blue), LET-381::AID control [*let-381(ns995)*; *nsls879 (nep-2prom7::TIR1*)] not exposed to K-NAA auxin (light blue) and *let-381(ns1026)* autoregulatory mutation (red). Data information: in (B) wild type *n* = 29 movies, GLR ablation *n* = 23 movies, *cwn-2(ok895) n* = 2 movies, *cam-1(gm122) n* = 4 movies, *sax-3(ky123) n* = 2 movies. Bar height indicates average (center of error bars) and error bars show standard deviation in (B). Unpaired t test used for statistical analysis in (C); controls (gray and light blue) were compared to wild type (black). LET-38::AID knockdown (dark blue) was compared to its control group (light blue) and *let-381(ns1026)* was compared to its control (gray). No statistically significant differences were observed between the LET-381::AID knockdown and *let-381(ns1026)* as indicated by the red line on the top of the three upper diagrams. Anterior is left, dorsal is up and scale bars are 10 µm for all animal images.