HOST TOLERANCE

A secreted bacterial peptidoglycan hydrolase enhances tolerance to enteric pathogens

Kavita J. Rangan,^{1*} Virginia A. Pedicord,^{1,2} Yen-Chih Wang,¹ Byungchul Kim,¹ Yun Lu,³ Shai Shaham,³ Daniel Mucida,² Howard C. Hang^{1*}

The intestinal microbiome modulates host susceptibility to enteric pathogens, but the specific protective factors and mechanisms of individual bacterial species are not fully characterized. We show that secreted antigen A (SagA) from *Enterococcus faecium* is sufficient to protect *Caenorhabditis elegans* against *Salmonella* pathogenesis by promoting pathogen tolerance. The NIpC/p60 peptidoglycan hydrolase activity of SagA is required and generates muramyl-peptide fragments that are sufficient to protect *C. elegans* against *Salmonella* pathogenesis in a *tol-1*-dependent manner. SagA can also be heterologously expressed and secreted to improve the protective activity of probiotics against *Salmonella* pathogenesis in *C. elegans* and mice. Our study highlights how protective intestinal bacteria can modify microbial-associated molecular patterns to enhance pathogen tolerance.

ysbiosis of the gut microbiota is associated with metabolic disorders, inflammatory bowel disease, and increased pathogen susceptibility (1). Nonetheless, individual bacterial species and factors involved in host protection have been difficult to characterize (2). Enterococci are lactic acid bacteria associated with the intestinal microbiome of diverse species ranging from humans to flies and can attenuate host susceptibility to enteric pathogens, including Salmonella (3, 4). Nonpathogenic strains of Enterococcus faecium have been used as probiotics, but their protection mechanisms are unclear (5). Because E. faecium can colonize the Caenorhabditis elegans intestine without causing apparent disease (6), we used C. elegans as a model organism (7) to elucidate the protective mechanism (or mechanisms) underlying E. faecium probiotic activity. To investigate whether E. faecium can attenuate enteric bacterial pathogenesis in C. elegans, we developed a treatment-infection assay with Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (fig. S1A), which causes persistent intestinal infection and death in C. elegans (8-10). In our assay, E. faecium-treated animals appeared less fragile and more motile than did control Escherichia coli OP50-treated animals after S. Typhimurium infection (fig. S1B). C. elegans survival was increased in animals fed E. faecium before infection as compared with animals fed E. coli OP50 or Bacillus subtilis 168 (Fig. 1A and fig. S1C). Multiple strains of E. faecium, including a pathogenic strain, were able to inhibit S. Typhimurium pathogenesis (fig. S1, D and E). E. faecium-treated animals were also more re-

sistant to the intrinsic pathogenesis of *E. coli* OP50 (fig. S1F) as well as pathogenesis caused by *Enterococcus faecalis* V583 (fig. S1G) (6). These results suggest that the mechanism of protection is conserved among *E. faecium* strains and is active against diverse enteric pathogens.

We next analyzed the effect of E. faecium on S. Typhimurium colonization and persistence. Fluorescence imaging of mCherry-S. Typhimurium 3 days after infection showed comparable S. Typhimurium colonization with or without E. faecium treatment (Fig. 1B and fig. S1H). Viable S. Typhimurium [colony-forming units (CFU)] recovered from lysed worms revealed a ~2 log decrease in S. Typhimurium colonization 1 day after infection in E. faecium-treated S. Typhimurium-infected animals (Fig. 1C). However, by 3 days after infection, S. Typhimurium titer was similar in OP50- and E. faecium-treated S. Typhimurium-infected animals (Fig. 1C). To determine whether this transient decrease in S. Typhimurium colonization represented niche competition early in our assay, we monitored E. faecium CFU throughout the infection assay (fig. S1I). Whereas E. faecium initially colonized worms to $\sim 10^5$ CFU/worm, *E. faecium* numbers decreased to ~ 10 to 10^2 CFU/worm 1 day after infection, demonstrating that the transient decrease in S. Typhimurium colonization was not concomitant with an increase in E. faecium load. Electron microscopy of worm transverse sections 4 days after infection revealed substantial degradation of the intestinal microvilli in OP50-treated S. Typhimurium-infected animals as compared with uninfected or E. faecium-treated animals (Fig. 1D). In OP50-treated S. Typhimuriuminfected animals, bacteria had escaped the intestinal lumen and caused extensive tissue damage (Fig. 1D, middle). In contrast, E. faecium-treated S. Typhimurium-infected animals contained a similar bacterial load to the intestinal lumen and showed no apparent tissue damage (Fig. 1D, right), suggesting improved epithelial barrier integrity. These results demonstrate that *E. faecium* does not prevent *S.* Typhimurium colonization or replication but may enhance host tolerance to pathogens.

We next explored whether specific factors produced by E. faecium were sufficient for protection against S. Typhimurium pathogenesis. E. faecium culture supernatant was as effective as live bacterial cultures in inhibiting S. Typhimurium pathogenesis (Fig. 2A). Activity of the supernatant was sensitive to proteinase-K treatment, trichloroacetic acid precipitation, and 10-kDa size exclusion (fig. S2, A to C), leading us to analyze the protein composition of E. faecium culture supernatant by means of mass spectrometry (fig. S2, D and E, and table S1). This revealed a number of secreted proteins and an enrichment of peptidoglycan remodeling factors (Fig. 2B). We focused on secreted antigen A (SagA), the most abundant protein identified in the supernatant (Fig. 2B), which encodes a putative secreted NlpC/p60 peptidoglycan hydrolase that is essential for E. faecium viability (11). Imaging of animals treated with E. faecium-expressing mCherry under the sagA promoter (*psagA:mcherry*) showed that *E. faecium* expresses SagA in vivo (Fig. 2C). Treatment of animals with recombinant SagA-His₆ purified from either E. coli BL21-RIL(DE3) or E. faecium Com15 was sufficient to inhibit S. Typhimurium pathogenesis (Fig. 2, D and E; fig. S3; and table S2). All sequenced E. faecium strains encode a sagA ortholog in their genomes, whereas sequenced E. faecalis strains do not. We inserted sagA-his₆ into the E. faecalis OG1RF chromosome to generate E. faecalis-sagA (figs. S4 and S5). Treatment of C. elegans with E. faecalis-sagA attenuated S. Typhimurium pathogenesis comparably with E. faecium, whereas treatment with wild-type E. faecalis was not protective (Fig. 2F and fig. S6A). S. Typhimurium load was similar across all infected conditions, demonstrating that E. faecalis-sagA does not inhibit S. Typhimurium colonization in vivo but rather improves pathogen tolerance (fig. S6B). SagA expression also counteracted the intrinsic pathogenesis of E. faecalis OG1RF (fig. S6C) (6). These results demonstrate that SagA is sufficient to enhance host tolerance against bacterial pathogens.

The protective activity of E. faecium against multiple enteric pathogens suggested that SagA may engage host pathways to limit pathogenesis. A survey of C. elegans immunity-associated mutants indicated no major role for the p38 MAPK/Pmk-1 pathway (12, 13), the transforming growth factor- β $(TGF-\beta)$ -like/Dbl-1 pathway (14), the insulin-like receptor/Daf-2 pathway (15), or the Npr-1-mediated pathogen avoidance pathway (fig. S7) (16, 17). C. elegans encodes one homolog of Toll-like receptor (TLR), tol-1 (18). C. elegans lacking the tol-1 TIR signaling domain [tol-1(nr2033)] exhibit defective pathogen avoidance to S. marsescens (19) and increased susceptibility to S. Typhimurium infection (20). We assessed SagA-mediated protection in tol-1(nr2033) animals and found that neither E. faecium nor E. faecalis-sagA were protective against S. Typhimurium infection in this mutant background, which suggests that SagA

¹Laboratory of Chemical Biology and Microbial Pathogenesis, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY 10065, USA. ²Laboratory of Mucosal Immunology, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY 10065, USA. ³Laboratory of Developmental Genetics, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY 10065, USA. *Corresponding author. Email: krangan@rockefeller.edu (K.J.R.); hhang@rockefeller.edu (H.C.H.)

enhances pathogen tolerance through *tol-1* signaling (Fig. 2G).

To evaluate the mechanism of SagA protection (21), we generated an active site mutant as well as

a C-terminal domain truncation of the NlpC/p60 hydrolase domain (Fig. 3A and fig. S8A). Neither mutant was able to inhibit S. Typhimurium pathogenesis, indicating that the NlpC/p60 hydrolase

activity is required for SagA-mediated protection (Fig. 3B). SagA did not affect *S*. Typhimurium colonization of *C. elegans* or directly attenuate *S*. Typhimurium growth or virulence mechanisms



Fig. 1. *E. faecium* induces host tolerance to **S. Typhimurium**. (**A**) Survival curve showing *E. faecium* (Efm, Com15)–mediated inhibition of S. Typhimurium (Stm, 14028) pathogenesis ($P < 10^{-10}$). The legend indicates treatment-infection. Control worms were fed *E. coli* OP50 for both the treatment and infection stages of the assay. For *C. elegans* survival curves in all figures, significance was calculated by means of log-rank test with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. Data points represent mean survival from 90 worms from a representative experiment independently replicated at least twice. (**B**) Fluorescence images of *C. elegans* infected with Stm-expressing plasmid-encoded mcherry (mcherryStm) at 3 days after infection. The dashed lines indicate an outline of the worm body. Scale bars, 100 µm. (**C**) Stm CFU



Fig. 2. SagA is sufficient for inducing pathogen tolerance in a tol-1-dependent manner. (**A**) Survival curve showing that both *E. faecium* culture supernatant (Efm, sup) ($P < 10^{-6}$) and live *E. faecium* culture (Efm, live) ($P < 10^{-7}$) inhibit S. Typhimurium (Stm)–induced death. OP50 culture supernatant (OP, sup) is not protective (P = 1). (**B**) Summary of proteins identified in Efm culture supernatant by means of mass spectrometry with at least 10 peptide spectrum matches (PSMs). Proteins involved in peptidoglycan remodeling are in red (table S1). The *x* axis represents arbitrary protein number. (**C**) Fluorescence images of *C. elegans* treated for 1 day with wild-type Efm or Efm-expressing mcherry under the sagA promoter (psagA:mcherry). The dashed lines indicate



measured in *C. elegans* throughout the infection assay. Data points represent average CFU from five worms ± SD of two independent experiments. The dashed line indicates detection limit. The background shading represents stage of the treatment-infection assay. Green indicates treatment, red indicates infection, and gray indicates *E. coli* (OP50) feeding. (**D**) Electron microscopy of transverse sections of *C. elegans* (top) and magnification of intestinal region (bottom) at 4 days after infection. The intestinal microvilli are highlighted blue; the intestinal lumen is highlighted red. (Top middle) The top arrow indicates bacteria that have breached the epithelial barrier, and the bottom arrow indicates loss of overall turgidity. Scale bars, 5 µm (top row) and 200 nm (bottom row).



an outline of the worm body. Scale bars, 200 µm. (**D**) Coomassie stained SDS– polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of culture supernatants and SagA-His₆ purifications from *E. faecium* Com15 (Efm) and *E. coli* BL21-RIL(DE3) (Ec). (**E**) Survival curve showing that SagA-His₆ purified from either *E. coli* BL21-RIL(DE3) (SagA, Ec) ($P < 10^{-10}$) or *E. faecium* Com15 (SagA, Efm) ($P < 10^{-10}$) inhibits Stm pathogenesis. (**F**) Survival curve from a continuous infection assay (fig. S6A) showing that *E. faecalis* (Efl, OG1RF)–sagA inhibits Stm pathogenesis ($P < 10^{-10}$) similarly to Efm (Com15) (P = 1) as compared with *E. faecalis* (Efl, OG1RF) and OP50. (**G**) Survival curve from a continuous infection assay showing that Efl-sagA (P = 0.053) does not inhibit Stm pathogenesis in *tol-1(nr2033) C. elegans*.

A

D



Fig. 3. Enzymatic activity of SagA is required for enhancing pathogen tolerance. (A) Schematic of SagA domain organization. The signal sequence is yellow, a predicted coiled-coil (CC) domain is orange, and the NIpC/p60-type hydrolase domain is blue. Active site residues are in red type. (**B**) Survival curve showing that SagA inhibits *S*. Typhimurium (Stm) pathogenesis ($P < 10^{-10}$), whereas an active site mutant (AS) and C-terminal truncation mutant (Ctrunc) do not (P = 0.42 and 0.98, respectively). (**C**) OD₆₀₀ of *E. coli* BL21-RIL(DE3) expressing SagA, the active site mutant, or cytoplasmically localized SagA (SagA-SS) 1 hour after induction. Bars represent mean ± SEM from three independent experiments. Significance was calculated by means of unpaired *t* test. **P < 0.01. (**D**) Survival curve showing that 5-kDa-MWCO column-filtered *E. coli* culture supernatants expressing SagA-His₆ (Ec, sagA-FT) inhibit Stm pathogenesis ($P < 10^{-4}$),

(fig. S8, B to E). In culture, recombinant SagA had no effect on *E. coli* growth rate (fig. S9A), but induction of SagA expression caused a decrease in culture optical density (OD) (Fig. 3C and fig. S9, B and C), indicating cell lysis. In contrast, expression of the active site mutant or cytoplasmically localized SagA did not induce *E. coli* cell lysis (Fig. 3C and fig. S9, B and C). These data suggest that although exogenous addition of SagA is not bacteriolytic, SagA is a functional hydrolase that can cleave peptidoglycan when targeted to the periplasm.

We hypothesized that SagA generates peptidoglycan fragments responsible for enhancing pathogen tolerance. Consistent with this hypothesis, we found that the flow-through from 5-kDa molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) column-filtered culture supernatants of E. coli expressing SagA, but not the active site mutant, protected C. elegans from S. Typhimurium pathogenesis (Fig. 3D and fig. S10A), which suggests that lower-molecularweight products of SagA enzymatic activity are sufficient for protection. To test whether SagAgenerated E. coli peptidoglycan fragments can protect C. elegans from S. Typhimurium, we digested purified E. coli peptidoglycan with lysozyme and either SagA or the active site mutant then filtered the digests to exclude protein. C. elegans treated with the SagA peptidoglycan digests survived similarly to SagA-treated animals, whereas active site mutant digests failed to attenuate pathogenesis (Fig. 3E). These results suggest that SagA-generated peptidoglycan fragments, and not SagA itself, are responsible for enhancing pathogen tolerance.

To identify the peptidoglycan fragment (or fragments) generated by SagA, we analyzed filtered bacterial culture supernatants by means of 8aminonaphthalene-1,3,6-trisulfonic acid (ANTS) labeling and gel-based profiling (22, 23). From E. coli expressing SagA, we detected a SagAspecific product that migrated similarly to the synthetic peptidoglycan fragments MurNAc-L-Ala and GlcNAc, but not to MurNAc-L-Ala-D-Glu (MDP) or MurNAc (Fig. 3F). ANTS analysis of E. faecium, E. faecalis, and E. faecalis-sagA peptidoglycan extracts revealed that SagA expression alters the muropeptide profile (fig. S11). From E. faecalis-sagA culture supernatant, we detected an ANTS-labeled product that comigrates with MurNAc (Fig. 3G), which suggests that heterologous SagA expression induces muropeptide shedding in both E. coli and E. faecalis. In contrast, 10-kDa-MWCO-filtered E. faecium culture supernatant did not yield detectable levels of MurNAc-L-Ala or MurNAc (Fig. 3G) and was not protective when administered to C. elegans (fig. S2C). E. faecium that expresses SagA endogenously is likely resistant to SagA-induced peptidoglycan shedding. Because SagA is abundantly secreted



whereas filtered *E. coli* culture supernatants expressing the active site mutant (Ec, AS-FT) do not (*P* = 1). (**E**) Survival curve showing that purified *E. coli* peptidoglycan treated with SagA (PG, SagA) can inhibit Stm pathogenesis (*P* < 10^{-10}), whereas *E. coli* peptidoglycan treated with the active site mutant (PG, AS) cannot (*P* = 1). (**F**) ANTS visualization of *E. coli* culture supernatants expressing SagA-His₆ or the active site mutant. A sugarless pentapeptide (PP) shows ultraviolet signal specificity. (**G**) ANTS visualization of peptidoglycan fragments in Efm, Efm-sagA, Efl, and Efl-sagA culture supernatants. (**H**) Survival curve showing that treatment with MurNAc (*P* < 10^{-5}) or MurNAc-L-Ala (*P* < 10^{-10}) can inhibit Stm pathogenesis, whereas MDP (*P* = 1) and GlcNAc (*P* = 1) are not protective. (**I**) Survival curve showing that MurNAc (*P* = 1) and MurNAc-L-Ala (*P* = 0.61) do not inhibit pathogenesis in *tol-1(nr2033) C. elegans*.

by E. faecium (fig. S4 and tables S1 and S4) and is protective after purification (Fig. 2, D and E), soluble SagA may hydrolyze extracellular peptidoglycan fragments derived from digested bacteria in vivo. Indeed, incubation of purified E. coli peptidoglycan with lysozyme and recombinant SagA, but not the active site mutant, vielded a peptidoglycan cleavage product with similar mobility to that of MurNAc-L-Ala (fig. S10B). These data suggest that heterologous expression of SagA in bacteria can remodel bacterial peptidoglycan (fig. S11), induce shedding of small muropeptide fragments (Fig. 3, F and G), and cleave extracellular peptidoglycan when secreted (fig. S10B). We next assessed the protective activity of SagAgenerated peptidoglycan fragments, MurNAc and MurNAc-L-Ala, as well as GlcNAc and MDP. Treatment of C. elegans with either MurNAc or MurNAc-L-Ala was sufficient to inhibit S. Typhimurium pathogenesis, whereas treatment with MDP or GlcNAc was not (Fig. 3H). MurNAc and MurNAc-L-Ala were not protective in tol-1(nr2033) animals (Fig. 3I), which suggests that tol-1 is required for mediating host protection in response to these peptidoglycan fragments. These data are consistent with the activity of muropeptides in mammals (24, 25) but show that MurNAc-L-Ala and MurNAc are the minimal peptidoglycan components that enhance pathogen tolerance in C. elegans.



Fig. 4. E. faecium and SagA enhance pathogen tolerance in mice. (A to C) Germ-free (GF) C57BL/6 mice were orally gavaged with 10⁸ CFU E. faecalis (Efl), Efl-expressing sagA (Efl-sagA), or E. faecium (Efm) 7 days before oral infection with 10² CFU S. Typhimurium (Stm). (A) Stm CFU in feces, (B) weight loss, and (C) survival are shown. Pooled data are from four independent experiments, n = 10 to 14 mice per group. (D to F) Mice were given an ampicillin, metronidazole, neomycin, and vancomycin (AMNV) antibiotic cocktail for 14 days and colonized with 10⁸ CFU L. plantarum (LpI) harboring an empty plasmid vector (Lpl-vector) or a sagA plasmid (Lpl-sagA) or 10^8 CFU Efm before oral infection with 10^6 CFU Stm. (D) Stm CFU in feces, (E) weight loss, and (F) survival are shown. Pooled data are from two independent experiments, n = 2 to 5 mice per group. [(A), (B), (D), and (E)] Mean ± SEM, 2-way analysis of variance, P value shown comparing sagA-expressing Efl or Lpl to wild type (WT) or vector controls, respectively. n.s., not significant. [(C) and (F)] Log-rank analysis, P value shown comparing Efm, sagA-expressing Efl, or Lpl to WT or vector controls, respectively. ** $P \le 0.01$, *** $P \le 0.001$ for all analyses. Comparisons with no asterisk had P > 0.05 and were not considered significant.

We next evaluated SagA-mediated protection against Salmonella pathogenesis in mice. Germfree mice were monocolonized with E. faecium, E. faecalis, or E. faecalis-sagA 7 days before infection with S. Typhimurium. Enterococcus and Salmonella load were measured in the feces, and mouse survival was tracked. All Enterococcus strains were similarly recovered from the feces after gavage, indicating efficient intestinal colonization (fig. S12). Consistent with our results in C. elegans, S. Typhimurium CFU in the feces were similar across all conditions throughout infection (Fig. 4A), which suggests that E. faecium does not inhibit Salmonella colonization. Remarkably, mice gavaged with E. faecium or E. faecalis-sagA before infection exhibited reduced weight loss and prolonged survival, with a median survival of 9 days, as compared with that of E. faecalis-treated mice (Fig. 4, B and C). Although Enterococci are used as probiotics in livestock, their pathogenic potential makes them problematic for use in humans (26). We thus introduced sagA into a nonpathogenic probiotic, Lactobacillus plantarum (27), and confirmed its expression and secretion (fig. S13). sagAexpressing L. plantarum significantly prevented weight loss and improved survival in an antibioticinduced S. Typhimurium infection model compared with L. plantarum (Fig. 4, D to F, and fig. S14). These results indicate that SagA is suffi-

cient to attenuate Salmonella pathogenesis in mammals and is protective even when expressed by other probiotic bacteria.

We demonstrate that *C. elegans* is an effective model with which to explore the protective mechanisms of intestinal bacteria and show that SagA from E. faecium is sufficient to protect C. elegans and mice from enteric pathogens. Our results suggest that the NlpC/p60 hydrolase activity of SagA generates distinct peptidoglycan fragments that may activate host immune pathways to enhance epithelial barrier integrity and confine pathogens to the intestinal lumen, ultimately promoting tolerance to infection (fig. S15). Our analysis of E. faecium and engineered SagAexpressing bacterial strains in mice suggests that SagA also improves intestinal epithelial barrier integrity to limit bacterial pathogenesis in mammals (28). The protective activity of E. faecium and SagA in mice requires the TLR signaling adaptor MyD88, the peptidoglycan pattern recognition receptor NOD2, and the C-type lectin RegIII
 γ (28). These results together suggest that E. faecium and SagA may function through evolutionarily conserved pathways to enhance epithelial barrier integrity and protect animals from enteric pathogens. Last, this study suggests that bacterial NlpC/p60-type peptidoglycan hydrolases (29-32) can enhance host tolerance to pathogens

and that these enzymes could be used to improve the activity of existing probiotics.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1 K. Honda, D. R. Littman, Annu. Rev. Immunol. 30, 759-795 (2012).
- 2 C. G. Buffie, E. G. Pamer, Nat. Rev. Immunol. 13, 790-801 (2013).
- 3. F. Lebreton, R. J. L. Willems, M. S. Gilmore, in Enterococci: From Commensals to Leading Causes of Drug Resistant Infection, M. S. Gilmore, D. B. Clewell, Y. Ike, N. Shankar, Eds. (Boston Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, 2014).
- Δ C. Staley, G. M. Dunny, M. J. Sadowsky, Adv. Appl. Microbiol. 87, 147-186 (2014).
- 5 C. M. Franz, M. Huch, H. Abriouel, W. Holzapfel, A. Gálvez, Int. J. Food Microbiol. 151, 125-140 (2011).
- 6 D. A. Garsin et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 98 10892-10897 (2001).
- 7. J. E. Irazogui, J. M. Urbach, F. M. Ausubel, Nat. Rev. Immunol. 10, 47-58 (2010).
- A. Haraga, M. B. Ohlson, S. I. Miller, Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 6. 8 53-66 (2008).
- 9 A. Aballay, P. Yorgey, F. M. Ausubel, Curr. Biol. 10, 1539-1542 (2000).
- A. Labrousse, S. Chauvet, C. Couillault, C. L. Kurz, J. J. Ewbank, 10.
- Curr. Biol. 10, 1543-1545 (2000). 11 F. Teng, M. Kawalec, G. M. Weinstock, W. Hryniewicz,
- B. E. Murray, Infect. Immun. 71, 5033-5041 (2003). 12.
- D. H. Kim et al., Science 297, 623-626 (2002).
- 13. R. P. Shivers, T. Kooistra, S. W. Chu, D. J. Pagano, D. H. Kim, Cell Host Microbe 6, 321-330 (2009)
- 14. G. V. Mallo et al., Curr. Biol. 12, 1209-1214 (2002).
- D. A. Garsin et al., Science 300, 1921 (2003).
- 16. E. Z. Macosko et al., Nature 458, 1171-1175 (2009). 17. K. L. Styer et al., Science 322, 460-464 (2008).
- 18. N. Pujol et al., Curr. Biol. 11, 809-821 (2001).
- 19. E. Pradel et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104, 2295-2300 (2007).
- 20. J. L. Tenor, A. Aballay, EMBO Rep. 9, 103-109 (2008).
- 21. M. Firczuk, M. Bochtler, FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 31, 676-691 (2007).
- 22. S. Y. Li, J. V. Höltje, K. D. Young, Anal. Biochem. 326, 1-12 (2004).
- 23. K. D. Young, J. Bacteriol. 178, 3962-3966 (1996).
- 24. D. J. Philpott, M. T. Sorbara, S. J. Robertson, K. Croitoru, S. E. Girardin, Nat. Rev. Immunol. 14, 9-23 (2014).
- 25. J. Royet, R. Dziarski, Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 5, 264-277 (2007).
- 26. B. Lund, C. Edlund, Clin. Infect. Dis. 32, 1384-1385 (2001).
- 27. L. M. Dicks, M. Botes, Benef, Microbes 1, 11-29 (2010)
- 28. V. A. Pedicord et al., Sci. Immunol. 10.1126/sciimmunol.aai7732 (2016).
- 29. W. Vollmer, B. Joris, P. Charlier, S. Foster, FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 32, 259-286 (2008).
- . F. Yan et al., J. Clin. Invest. 121, 2242–2253 (2011) 30
- 31. F. Yan et al., Gastroenterology 132, 562-575 (2007)
- 32. F. Yan et al., J. Biol. Chem. 288, 30742-30751 (2013).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank M. Tesic for liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS)/MS analyses, S. T. Chen for cloning pET21a-SagA-SS, A. Rogoz and T. Rendon for assistance with germ-free mouse care, and the Bargmann laboratory for reagents and helpful discussions. We also thank M. S. Gilmore, B. E. Murray, J. T. Singer, A. J. Bäumler, and B. Sartor for reagents. The data presented in this manuscript are tabulated in the main paper and in the supplementary materials. All C. elegans strains were provided by the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center which is funded by the NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440). K.J.R. received support from the David Rockefeller Graduate Program and a Helmsley Graduate Fellowship, V.A.P. thanks the Center for Basic and Translational Research on Disorders of the Digestive System Pilot Award through the generosity of the Leona M. and Harry B. Helmsley Charitable Trust. Y.-C.W. is a Cancer Research Institute Irvington Fellow supported by the Cancer Research Institute. This work was supported by the NIH-National Institute of General Medical Sciences grant R01GM103593 and Robertson Therapeutic Development Fund to H.C.H. and D.M. H.C.H also thanks the Lerner Trust for support. The authors declare no competing financial interests. K.J.R, V.A.P., D.M., and H.C.H. are inventors on patent PCT/US2016/028836, submitted by The Rockefeller University, which covers modified microorganisms expressing SagA as antiinfective agents, probiotics, and food components.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

www.sciencemag.org/content/353/6306/1434/suppl/DC1 Materials and Methods Figs. S1 to S15 Tables S1 to S4 References (33-50) 28 January 2016; accepted 26 August 2016

10.1126/science.aaf3552



A secreted bacterial peptidoglycan hydrolase enhances tolerance to enteric pathogens Kavita J. Rangan, Virginia A. Pedicord, Yen-Chih Wang, Byungchul Kim, Yun Lu, Shai Shaham, Daniel Mucida and Howard C. Hang (September 22, 2016) *Science* **353** (6306), 1434-1437. [doi: 10.1126/science.aaf3552]

Editor's Summary

This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only.

Article Tools	Visit the online version of this article to access the personalization and article tools: http://science.sciencemag.org/content/353/6306/1434
Permissions	Obtain information about reproducing this article: http://www.sciencemag.org/about/permissions.dtl

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published weekly, except the last week in December, by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. Copyright 2016 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science; all rights reserved. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.